

# FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

# MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING

The financial statements have been prepared by and are the responsibility of the management of the Corporation.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, using management's best estimates and judgements based on currently available information.

The Corporation maintains an appropriate system of internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that financial information is accurate and reliable and that the Corporation's assets are appropriately safeguarded.

The Board of Directors carries out its responsibility for the financial statements principally through its Audit Committee, comprised of independent directors. The Audit Committee reviews the Corporation's annual financial statements and recommends their approval to the Board of Directors. The Corporation's auditors have full access to the Audit Committee, with and without management being present.

The financial statements have been audited by Grant Thornton, Chartered Accountants. Their report outlines the scope of their examination and opinion on the financial statements.

"Udomdej Kriangkum" Chief Executive Officer Edmonton, Alberta March 13, 2013 "Don Hruba" Chief Financial Officer Edmonton, Alberta March 13, 2013



# Independent Auditor's Report

Grant Thornton LLP 1701 Scotia Place 10060 Jasper Avenue NW Edmonton, AB T5J 3R8 T +1 780 422 7114 F +1 780 426 3208 www.GrantThornton.ca

To the Shareholders of Athabasca Minerals Inc.

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Athabasca Minerals Inc., which comprise the balance sheets as at November 30, 2012, November 30, 2011 and December 1, 2010, and the statements of net income and comprehensive income, statements of changes in equity and statements of cash flows for the years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

#### Management's responsibility for the financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the company's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.



We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

# Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Athabasca Minerals Inc. as at November 30, 2012, November 30, 2011 and December 1, 2010, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Edmonton, Canada

Grant Thouton LLP

Chartered Accountants

March 13, 2013

**Balance Sheets** 

	_	November 30, 2012		November 30, 2011	_	December 1, 2010
				(Note 27)		(Note 27)
ASSETS						
CURRENT	•		•		•	
Cash Accounts receivable	\$	2,532,413 4,618,912	\$	1,397,883 3,778,126	\$	1,296,812 3,210,246
Inventory (Note 5)		1,500,942		- 3,770,120		5,210,240
Prepaid expenses and deposits		514,240		327,510		502,546
Current portion of land use agreement receivable				·		,
(Note 9)		660,873		213,057		-
Short-term investment (Note 14)	_	-	_	603,000		603,000
		9,827,380		6,319,576		5,612,604
LONG-TERM DEPOSITS (Note 6)		366,846		106,590		25,050
RESTRICTED CASH (Note 7)		213,015		25,522		-
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (Note 8)		9,168,090		734,034		858,911
LAND USE AGREEMENT RECEIVABLE (Note 9)		959,987		603,876		-
RESOURCE PROPERTIES (Note 10)		5,895,745		4,694,489		3,379,376
INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Note 11)		4,309,259		5,175,926		6,234,494
GOODWILL (Note 12)		2,537,701		2,537,701		2,537,701
	\$	33,278,023	\$	20,197,714	\$	18,648,136
LIABILITIES						
CURRENT						
Trade and other payables	\$	3,048,649	\$	1,476,071	\$	871,279
Income tax payable		302,379		271,630		700,910
Callable debt (Note 13)		-		3,883,479		5,723,729
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 14)		1,000,000		-		-
Current portion of lease obligation (Note 15)		1,113,444	_		_	
		5,464,472		5,631,180		7,295,918
LONG-TERM DEBT (Note 14)		2,548,430		-		-
LEASE OBLIGATION (Note 15)		4,501,057		-		-
DECOMMISSIONING AND RESTORATION PROVISION (Note 16)		1,098,041		587,664		267,781
DEFERRED GAIN ON SALE AND LEASEBACK (Note		1,090,041		307,004		207,701
17)		34,709		-		-
DEFERRED TAX (Note 18)	_	2,542,422	_	2,296,954	_	2,305,676
	_	16,189,131	_	8,515,798		9,869,375
EQUITY SHARE CAPITAL (Note 19)		7,049,080		6,655,116		6,585,761
CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS		1,098,599		795,996		736,643
RETAINED EARNINGS	_	8,941,213	_	4,230,804	_	1,456,357
		17,088,892	. –	11,681,916	. –	8,778,761
	\$_	33,278,023	\$	20,197,714	\$	18,648,136

# Approved by the Board of Directors

"Douglas Stuve", Director

Douglas M. Stuve

"Theodore Rousseau", Director

Theodore Rousseau

The accompanying notes are part of these financial statements.

# Statements of Net Income and Comprehensive Income Year ended November 30

	2012	2011
		(Note 27)
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT SERVICES ROYALTIES	\$ 16,541,836 (4,859,489)	\$ 12,179,997 (3,488,213)
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT FEES	11,682,347	8,691,784
AGGREGATE SALES	3,068,878	-
ROYALTIES	(28,550)	
NET AGGREGATE SALES	3,040,328	
REVENUE	14,722,675	8,691,784
Stripping, clearing and crushing expenses	3,271,468	336,730
Amortization, depreciation, and depletion	379,082	-
Other aggregate operating expenses	1,061,735	1,184,451
AGGREGATE OPERATING EXPENSES	(4,712,285)	(1,521,181)
GROSS PROFIT	10,010,390	7,170,603
OTHER EXPENSES		
Depreciation of property and equipment	392,944	141,460
Amortization of intangible assets (Note 11)	866,667	952,777
General and administrative	2,331,628	1,731,697
Finance costs (Note 20)	263,591	245,097
Share-based compensation	469,450	113,711
	(4,324,280)	(3,184,742)
INCOME BEFORE OTHER ITEMS	5,686,110	3,985,861
OTHER INCOME (LOSS)		
Interest income	35,523	8,742
Gain on land use agreement (Note 9)	1,400,573	704,967
Miscellaneous income (expense)	29,337	(6,119)
Write-down of prepaid gravel	-	(150,000)
Amortization of deferred gain on sale and leaseback	3,806	-
Loss on write off of property and equipment	(21,877)	-
Recovery (write down) of intangible assets (Note 11)	17,331	(218,176)
Write down of resource properties and exploration costs	<u>(670,389)</u> 794,304	<u>(451,656)</u> (112,242)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	6,480,414	3,873,619
	0,400,414	3,073,019
INCOME TAXES	1 504 507	1 101 550
Current tax (Note 18) Deferred tax expense (benefit)(Note 18)	1,524,537 245,468	1,101,550 (8,722)
Deferred tax expense (benefit)(Note To)	(1,770,005)	(1,092,828)
NET INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 4,710,409	\$ 2,780,791
BASIC INCOME PER COMMON SHARE (Note 19 e)	\$ 0.171	\$ 0.103
DILUTED INCOME PER COMMON SHARE (Note 19 e) WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES	\$ 0.170	\$ 0.102
OUTSTANDING (Note 19 e)	27,502,403	27,030,621

Supplemental Income Statement Disclosure (Note 25)

The accompanying notes are part of these financial statements.

# Statements of Changes in Equity Year ended November 30,

	2012								
	Number of Shares			Retained Earnings	Total Equity				
November 30, 2011 (Note 27)	27,199,166	\$6,655,116	\$795,996	\$4,230,804	\$11,681,916				
Share-based compensation	-	-	469,450	-	469,450				
Options exercised	781,667	227,117	-	-	227,117				
Transfer of value on options exercised	-	166,847	(166,847)	-	-				
Net income for the year		-	-	4,710,409	4,710,409				
November 30, 2012	27,980,833	\$7,049,080	\$1,098,599	\$8,941,213	\$17,088,892				

	2011								
	Number of Shares	Share Capital	Contributed Surplus	Retained Earnings	Total Equity				
December 1, 2010 (Note 27)	27,149,165	\$6,585,761	\$736,643	\$1,456,357	\$8,778,761				
Premium on repurchased shares	(215,000)	(52,154)	-	(6,344)	(58,498)				
Share-based compensation	-	-	113,711	-	113,711				
Options exercised	265,001	67,151	-	-	67,151				
Transfer of value on options exercised	-	54,358	(54,358)	-	-				
Net income for the year		-	-	2,780,791	2,780,791				
November 30, 2011 (Note 27)	27,199,166	\$6,655,116	\$795,996	\$4,230,804	\$11,681,91 <u>6</u>				

The accompanying notes are part of these financial statements.

# Statements Of Cash Flows Year ended November 30,

	2012			2011
				(Note 27)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$	4,710,409	\$	2,780,791
Adjustments for non-cash items:		1 652 662		1 101 111
Depreciation, amortization, depletion and accretion Deferred tax expense (benefit)		1,653,663 245,468		1,101,441 (8,722)
Share-based compensation		469,450		(0,722) 113,711
Gain on land use agreement		(1,400,573)		(704,967)
Amortization of deferred gain on sale and leaseback		(3,806)		(104,307)
Amortization of long-term debt transaction costs		6,346		_
Loss on write off of property and equipment		21,877		-
Write down (recovery) of intangible assets		(17,331)		218,176
Write down of resource properties and exploration costs		670,389		451,656
Net income adjusted for non-cash items		6,355,892	_	3,952,086
Net income adjusted for non-cash items		0,355,692		3,952,000
Net changes in non-cash working capital balances				
Trade and other payables		1,572,578		604,792
Accounts receivable		(840,786)		(567,880)
Inventory		(1,500,942)		-
Income tax payable		30,749		(429,280)
Prepaid expenses and deposits		(186,730)	_	175,036
		5,430,761	_	3,734,754
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Restricted cash		(187,493)		(25,522)
Proceeds from land use agreement		609,536		166,523
Proceeds on maturity of short term deposit		603,000		-
Long-term deposits		(260,256)		(81,540)
Purchase of property and equipment		(5,344,737)		(16,582)
Proceeds from sale and leaseback of property and				
equipment		2,305,454		-
Insurance proceeds from loss of property and equipment		4,250		-
Development costs related to land use agreement		-		(214,653)
Resource properties		(1,524,501)	_	(1,630,312)
		(3,794,747)	_	(1,802,086)
				(50,400)
Repurchase of share capital		-		(58,498)
Long-term debt transaction costs		4,000,000		-
Long-term debt transaction costs Repayment of long-term debt		(41,250)		-
Repayment of lease obligations		(416,667) (387,205)		-
Issue of share capital		227,117		67,151
Repayment of callable debt		(3,883,479)		(1,840,250)
Ropaymont of ballable dobt			_	
		(501,484)	-	(1,831,597)
NET INCREASE IN CASH		1,134,530		101,071
CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR		1,397,883	_	1,296,812
CASH, END OF YEAR	\$	2,532,413	\$	1,397,883
Supplemental cash flow information (Note 26)				

Supplemental cash flow information (Note 26)

# Note 1 – Nature of Business

Athabasca Minerals Inc. (the "Corporation") is incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act (Alberta)*. The Corporation's head office is located at 9524 27 Avenue, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6N 1B2. The Corporation manages the Susan Lake aggregate (sand and gravel) pit on behalf of the Province of Alberta for which management fees are earned. A significant portion of the Corporation's total revenue is derived from this contract. In addition to this management contract, the Corporation owns gravel pits producing aggregate for a variety of purposes and explores for and develops land for the purposes of establishing additional Corporation owned gravel pits. The Corporation also acquires, explores and develops mineral claims located in the Fort McMurray area for the purpose of extracting salt, silica sand and other minerals. The Corporation is listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX Venture").

# Note 2 – Basis of Presentation and Statement of Compliance

# Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards

These financial statements are the first annual financial statements of the Corporation prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Boards ("IASB"). The Corporation's transition date to IFRS was December 1, 2010 (the "Transition Date").

The financial statements include an opening balance sheet as at December 1, 2010, the date at which the impact of IFRS transitions were recorded against equity in accordance with the provisions of IFRS 1 "First time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards" and the 2011 comparative statements were prepared using the same basis of accounting. A detailed reconciliation of the financial statements prepared under Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP") and the comparative 2011 IFRS financial information is presented in Note 27.

The accounting policies set out below have been applied to all periods presented in these financial statements.

These financial statements were authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on March 13, 2013.

### Note 3 – Significant accounting judgments and estimates

The preparation of the Corporation's financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions are continually evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The areas which require management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions in determining carrying values include:

# <u>Judgments</u>

# <u>Revenue</u>

Under its aggregate management contracts with the government, the Corporation invoices its customers for any royalties applicable on the sale of aggregates, and is responsible to collect and remit all invoiced royalties. An entity acts as a principal (not as an agent) when it has exposure to the significant risks and rewards associated with the sale of goods or the rendering of services. In a principal relationship, billed amounts are reported on a gross basis. In an agency relationship, billed amounts are reported on a het basis as the amounts collected on behalf of the principal are not considered revenue. Determining whether

### Judgments (continued)

### **<u>Revenue</u>** (continued)

an entity is acting as a principal or agent requires judgment and consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances. Features that indicate that an entity is acting as a principal include:

- The entity has the primary responsibility for providing the goods or services to the customer or for fulfilling the order;
- The entity bears the customer's credit risk for the amount receivable from the customer;
- The entity has latitude in establishing prices, either directly or indirectly, for example by providing additional goods or services; and
- The entity has inventory risk before or after the customer order, during shipping or on return.

It is the judgment of management that in the case of providing aggregate management services, the first two considerations above apply to the Corporation's situation, whereas the remaining two considerations apply less to the Corporation's situation It is therefore management's determination that the Corporation serves a role as principal rather than agent in the aggregate management services it performs.

### Impairment of Resource Properties

Mineral properties are reviewed and evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Common indicators of impairment of a mineral property include, but are not limited to: (i) the right to explore in a specific area has expired, or will soon expire, and is not expected to be renewed; (ii) substantive expenditure on further exploration in a specific area is neither budgeted or planned; (iii) exploration in an area has not led to the discovery of commercially viable quantities of mineral resources, or the results are not compelling enough to warrant further exploration, and the Corporation has decided to discontinue activities in the area; or (iv) sufficient data exist to indicate that, although exploration or development in an area is likely to proceed, the carrying amount of the mineral property is unlikely to be recovered in full from successful development or by sale.

### **Commencement of Commercial Production**

The Corporation assesses the stage of each resource property under development to determine when a property reaches the stage when it is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. Criteria used to assess when a property has commenced commercial production includes, among other considerations:

- Capital expenditures incurred relative to the expected costs to complete;
- The completion of a reasonable period of testing of mine plant and equipment;
- The ability to produce saleable aggregates;
- Achievement of production targets;
- Sufficiency of hauling access from the pit,
- The ability to sustain ongoing production.

When management determines that a property has commenced commercial production, costs deferred during development are reclassified as production costs and amortized.

### **Collectability of Accounts Receivable**

In considering the collectability of accounts receivable, taken into account is the legal obligation for payment by the customer, as well as the financial capacity of the customer to fund its obligation to the Corporation.

### Judgments (continued)

### <u>Leases</u>

Management uses judgment in determining whether the lease is a finance lease arrangement that transfers substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. Management evaluates the lease terms and in some cases the lease transaction is not always conclusive in its classification as a finance lease.

### Estimates

### **Useful Economic Life of Property and Equipment**

The cost less the residual value of each item of property, plant and equipment is depreciated over its useful economic life. Depreciation is charged to exploration expense over the estimated life of the individual asset. Depreciation commences when assets are available for use. The assets' useful lives and methods of depreciation are reviewed and adjusted if appropriate at each fiscal year end.

Certain property, plant, equipment and other tangible assets used directly in resource production activities are depreciated using the units-of-production ("UOP") method over a period not to exceed the estimated life of the ore body based on recoverable minerals to be mined from proven and probable mineral reserves.

The calculation of the UOP rate, and therefore the annual depreciation expense, could be materially affected by changes in the underlying estimates. Changes in estimates may result from difference between actual future production and current forecast of future production, expansion of mineral reserves through exploration activities, differences between estimated and actual costs of production and differences in mineral prices used in the estimation of mineral reserves.

Significant judgment is involved in the determination of useful life and residual values for the computation of depreciation and no assurance can be given that the actual useful lives or residual values will not differ significantly from current assumptions.

### Impairment of Goodwill and Other Assets

Any goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if there is an indication of impairment. The carrying value of property and equipment and intangible assets is reviewed each reporting period to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount, the asset is impaired and an impairment loss is recognized in profit or loss. The assessment of fair values less costs to sell or value in use, including those of the cash-generating units for purposes of testing goodwill, require the use of estimates and assumptions for recoverable production, long-term commodity prices, discount rates, future capital requirements and operating performance. Changes in any of the assumptions or estimates used in determining the fair value of goodwill or other assets could impact the impairment analysis.

### Mineral Reserves

Proven and probable minerals reserves are the economically mineable parts of the Corporation's measured and indicated mineral resources demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. The Corporation estimates its proven and probable mineral reserves and measured and indicated and inferred mineral resources based on information compiled by appropriately qualified persons. Geological estimates of the size, depth and shape of the ore body requires complex judgements. The estimation of future cash flows related to proven and probable mineral reserves is based upon factors such as estimates of commodity prices, future capital requirements, mineral recovery factors and production costs along with geological assumptions and judgements made in estimating the size and grade of the ore body. Changes

### Estimates (continued)

### Mineral Reserves (continued)

in the proven and probable mineral reserves or measured and indicated and inferred mineral resources estimates may impact the carrying value of mineral properties, property and equipment, decommissioning and restoration provisions, recognition of deferred tax amounts, amortization and depreciation.

### **Calculation of Share-based Payments**

The amount expensed for share-based payments is based on the application of the Black-Scholes option pricing formula, which is highly dependent on the expected volatility of the Corporation's share price and the expected life of the options. The Corporation used an expected volatility rate for its shares based on historical stock trading data adjusted for future expectations; actual volatility may be significantly different.

While the estimate of share-based compensation can have a material impact on the operating results reported by the Corporation, it is a non-cash charge and as such has no impact on the Corporation's cash position or future cash flows.

### **Decommissioning and Restoration Provision**

The Corporation assesses its provision for decommissioning and restoration on an annual basis or when new information or circumstances merit a re-assessment. Mining and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and the Corporation has made, and intends to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations. Accounting for decommissioning and restoration obligations required management to make estimates of the future costs the Corporation will incur to complete the decommissioning and restoration work required to comply with existing laws and regulations.

Actual costs incurred may differ from estimated costs. Also, future changes to environmental laws and regulations could increase the extent of decommissioning and restoration work to be performed by the Corporation. Increases in future costs could materially increase amounts expensed and amounts charged to profit or loss for decommissioning and restoration.

The provision, at each reporting date, for decommissioning and restoration provisions represents management's best estimate of the present value of the future decommissioning and restoration obligations. Actual expenditures may differ from the recorded amount.

### **Inventories**

Aggregate work-in-process and finished goods are valued at the lower of average production cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated receipt from sale of the inventory in the normal course of business, less any anticipated costs to be incurred prior to its sale. The production cost of inventories is determined on a weighted average basis and includes direct labour, subcontractor production costs, overhead and depreciation, depletion and amortization of resource properties.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes are measured by applying estimated annual effective income tax rates that are expected to be in effect when the temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to reverse or when losses are expected to be utilized. The estimated average annual effective income tax rates are re-estimated at each reporting date. Estimation of income taxes includes evaluating the recoverability of deferred tax assets based on an assessment of the Corporation's ability to utilize the underlying future tax deductions against future taxable income before they expire. The Corporation's

### Estimates (continued)

### Income Taxes (continued)

assessment is based upon existing tax laws, estimates of future taxable income, and the expected timing of taxable temporary difference reversals.

If the assessment of the Corporation's ability to utilize the underlying future tax deductions changes, the Corporation would be required to recognize more or fewer of the tax deductions as assets, which may decrease or increase the income tax expense in the period in which this is determined.

#### Land Use Agreement Receivable

The average daily work camp occupancy rates used in the determination of the total future proceeds of the land use agreement receivable is an estimate and therefore actual future proceeds under the land use agreement could vary significantly. During the year ended November 30, 2012, a second lodge was constructed in the work camp. The work camp was constructed primarily to serve the accommodation needs of the oil sands industry workers. The actual occupancy rate is largely dependent on oil sands development activity in the Fort McMurray region of Alberta.

### Note 4 – Significant Accounting Policies

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as cash on deposit with financial institutions and highly liquid short-term investments that have maturity of three months or less.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

The Corporation derives the majority of its revenues through the management of the Susan Lake aggregate pit where a management fee is earned based on the volume extracted from the pit. In addition, the Corporation derives revenues from the sale of aggregates from its corporate-owned pits. The Corporation recognizes revenue at the point that the aggregate material leaves the pit.

Revenue from the sale of aggregates, net of any discounts, is recognized on the sale of products at the time the Corporation has transferred to the buyer the significant risks and rewards of ownership; the Corporation retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold; the amount of revenue can be measured reliably; it is probable that the economic benefits associated with the transaction will flow to the entity; and the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

Interest income is recognized as it is earned on an accrual basis.

### **Stripping and Clearing Costs**

Stripping and clearing costs incurred during the development of a pit or mine are capitalized in resource properties. Stripping and clearing costs incurred subsequent to commencement of production are variable production costs that are included in the cost of inventory produced during the period in which they are incurred, unless the stripping and clearing activities can be shown to give rise to future benefits from the mineral property, in which case the stripping and clearing costs would be capitalized. Future benefits arise when stripping and clearing activities increases the future output of the pit or mine by providing access to an extension of an ore body or to a new ore body. Capitalized stripping costs are depleted based on the unit-of-production method using proven and probable mineral reserves as the depletion base.

# Note 4 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Inventory

Work-in-process and finished goods inventory are valued at the lower of average production cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is calculated as the estimated selling price at the measurement date less future costs required to sell inventories.

Production costs are included in work-in-process inventory, including applicable amortization and depletion of estimated resource properties. The cost of finished goods includes, when applicable, the associated costs of crushing and hauling.

Any write down of inventory is recognized as a charge against profit or loss in the period the write down occurs.

### Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include management contracts relating to the management of aggregate pits, which are carried at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected life of the contract, or the remaining life of the mine if shorter. The Corporation has not identified intangible assets for which the expected useful life is indefinite.

### **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses. The Corporation provides for depreciation on its property and equipment using the following methods and rates:

	Method	Rate
Onsite buildings and fences	Straight line	10 years
Office complex	Straight line	15 years
Scale and scale houses	Straight line	10 years
Exploration and development tangible assets	Unit-of-production	
Equipment		
Crushing equipment	Unit-of-production	
Mobile home	Straight line	10 years
Computer software	Straight line	1-3 years
Office equipment	Straight line	3 years
Computer hardware	Declining balance	30%
Large equipment	Declining balance	20%
Vehicles	Declining balance	30%

Costs for property and equipment include all costs required to bring the asset into its intended use by the Corporation. Significant parts of an item of property and equipment with different useful lives are recognized and depreciated separately. Depreciation commences when the asset is available for use. The assets residual values, useful lives and method of depreciation are reviewed each financial year and adjustments are accounted for prospectively if appropriate. Repairs and maintenance expenditures are charged to operations as incurred. Major improvements and replacements, which extend the useful life of an asset, are capitalized. An item of property and equipment is derecognized on disposal or when no future economic benefits are expected from its use. Any gain or loss arising on derecognizing of an asset is included in profit or loss in the period the asset is derecognized.

# Note 4 - Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### **Resource Properties and Related Expenditures**

Direct mineral exploration, evaluation and development costs are capitalized on a specific project basis until such time as a resource is defined or the project is abandoned. Any related decommissioning and restoration provisions are capitalized on an individual project basis. Costs for properties that are abandoned are written off. The capitalized costs will be amortized on the basis of units produced in relation to the proven and probable reserves available on the related property following commencement of production. Exploration and evaluation expenditures incurred before the Corporation has obtained the legal right to explore an area are expensed as incurred.

The capitalized costs do not necessarily reflect the current or future values since the recoverability of the amounts capitalized for undeveloped mineral properties is dependent upon the determination of an economically recoverable resource, confirmation of the Corporation's interest in the underlying mineral properties, the ability to obtain the necessary financing to complete their development and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

Title to mineral properties involves inherent risks due to the difficulties of determining the validity of certain claims as well as the potential for problems arising from the frequently unreliable conveyance history characteristic of many mineral properties. The Corporation has investigated title to all of its mineral properties and, to the best of its knowledge all of its properties are in good standing.

The Corporation may conclude that it will receive future economic benefits from an exploration property, which is generally when a bankable feasibility study has been completed and economically recoverable mineral resources for the project are determined. At this stage, the property is considered to be under development. Previously capitalized exploration costs related to the property are at that time tested for impairment and are then transferred to development costs. Subsequent development costs are capitalized, including any costs incurred to increase or extend the life of existing production. Once a mineral property has been brought into commercial production, costs of any additional work on that property are expensed as incurred, except for development programs that extend the life or enhance the value of a property, which will be deferred and depleted over the useful life of the related assets. On the commencement of commercial production, net capitalized costs will be charged to operations on a unit-of-production basis, by property, using estimated proven and probable reserves as the depletion base.

Mineral properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. When it is determined that a project or property will be abandoned the costs are written-off, or if its carrying value has been impaired, the costs are written down to the recoverable amount, which is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. Where future cash flows are not reasonably determinable, mineral property interests are evaluated for impairment based on results of exploration work, management's intent and ability to retain title to the property, and determination of the extent to which future exploration programs are warranted and likely to be funded.

### Income Per Common Share

Income per common share is calculated by dividing the net income for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the financial reporting period. Diluted income per share is calculated by adjusting the weighted average number of shares for the dilutive effect of options and warrants. The computation of diluted income per share assumes the conversion, exercise or contingent issuance of securities only when such conversion would have a dilutive effect on income. It is assumed that outstanding options, warrants and similar items are exercised or converted into shares and that the proceeds that would be realized upon such exercise or conversion are used to purchase common shares at the average market price per share during the relevant financial reporting period.

# Note 4 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

The Corporation recognizes a liability for restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations associated with long-lived assets, including the abandonment of mineral properties and returning properties to the condition required in order to satisfy regulatory obligations.

The Corporation records the present value of the estimated legal and constructive obligations required to restore the exploration sites in the period incurred, along with a corresponding increase in the carrying value of the related asset. The present value of the estimated future cash outflows to settle the obligation is determined using a risk-free pre-tax discount rate that reflects the time value of money. The liability is subsequently adjusted for the passage of time, and is recognized as a finance cost in profit or loss. The liability is also adjusted due to revisions in either the timing or amount of the original estimated cash flows associated with the liability, or for changes to the current market-based discount rate. Changes resulting from revisions to the timing or amount of the original estimate of undiscounted retirement obligation cash flows are recognized as an increase or decrease in the carrying amount of the related asset.

### **Impairment of Non-financial Assets**

For the purposes of assessing impairment, the recoverable amount of an asset, which is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use, is estimated. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the asset is included in the cash-generating unit to which it belongs and the recoverable amount of the cash generating unit is estimated. As a result, some assets are tested individually for impairment and some are tested at the cash-generating unit level. A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets that generates cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or group of assets.

Cash-generating units to which goodwill has been allocated are tested for impairment at least annually. Intangible assets with an indefinite useful life and an intangible asset not yet available for use are also tested for impairment at least annually. All other individual assets or cash-generating units are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset is impaired such as decreases in mineral prices, an increase in operating costs, or a decrease in mineable reserves. The Corporation also considers net book value of the asset, the ongoing costs required to maintain and operate the asset, and the use, value and condition of the asset.

An impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the asset's or cash-generating unit's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount which is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. To determine the value in use, management estimates expected future cash flows from each asset or cash-generating unit and determines a suitable interest rate in order to calculate the present value of those cash flows. Future cash flows used in the determination of value in use are estimated based on expected future production, recoverability of reserves, commodity prices, operating costs, decommissioning and restoration costs, as well as capital costs. Management estimates of future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties. It is reasonably possible that changes in estimates could occur which may affect the recoverable amounts of assets, including the Corporation's investments in mineral properties.

Fair value less costs to sell is determined with reference to discounted estimated future cash flow analysis or on recent transactions involving dispositions of similar properties.

An impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is first allocated to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to that cash-generating unit. Any remaining impairment loss is allocated on a pro rata basis to the other assets in the cash-generating unit. With the exception of goodwill, all assets are subsequently reassessed for indications that an impairment loss previously recognized may no longer exist or may have decreased. An impairment charge is reversed if the cash-generating unit's recoverable

# Note 4 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Impairment of Non-financial Assets (continued)

amount exceeds its carrying amount. Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (cash-generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, however only to the extent that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years.

### **Share-based Payments**

The Corporation grants stock options to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Corporation pursuant to a stock option plan. The fair value of options granted is recognized as an expense with a corresponding increase in contributed surplus.

Share-based payments to employees and others providing similar services are measured on the grant date at the fair value of the instruments issued. Fair value is measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options were granted. The amount recognized as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of options that are expected to vest. Each tranche in an award with graded vesting is considered a separate grant with a different vesting date and fair value.

Share-based payments to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received, unless that fair value cannot be estimated reliably, in which case the fair value of the equity instruments issued is used. The value of the goods or services is recorded at the earlier of the vesting date, or the date the goods or services are received.

Any consideration received upon exercise of options is credited to share capital and the associated amounts originally recorded in contributed surplus are transferred to share capital.

In the event options are forfeited prior to vesting, the amounted recognized in prior periods in relation to the option is reversed.

#### <u>Leases</u>

Leases are classified as finance or operating leases. A lease is classified as a finance lease if it effectively transfers substantially the entire risks and rewards incidental to ownership.

At the commencement of the lease the Corporation recognizes finance leases as an asset acquisition and an assumption of an obligation in the balance sheet at the amounts equal to the lower of the fair value of the leased property, or the present value of the minimum lease payments. The discount rate to be used in calculating the present value of the minimum lease payments is the interest rate implicit in the lease, if this is practicable to determine; if not, the incremental borrowing rate is used. The interest element of the lease payment is recognized as finance cost over the lease term to achieve a constant periodic rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability. Any initial direct costs of the lessee are added to the amount recognized as an asset. The useful life and depreciation method is determined on a consistent basis with the Corporation's policies for property and equipment. The asset is depreciated over the shorter of the lease term and its useful life.

All other leases are accounted for as operating leases, wherein payments are expensed on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

### **Provisions**

Liabilities are recognized when the Corporation has a present legal or constructive obligation arising as a

# Note 4 - Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Provisions (continued)

result of a past event and it is probable that a future outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made.

A provision is a liability of uncertain timing or amount. Provisions are measured at the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation using the pre-tax rate that reflects the current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. The increase in the provision due to the passage of time is recognized as a finance cost.

### Income Taxes

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity and other comprehensive income, in which case the tax expense is also recognized directly in equity and other comprehensive income, respectively.

Current tax is the expected tax payable or receivable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates and laws enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are provided for using the liability method on temporary differences between the tax bases and carrying amounts of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the year in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Changes to these balances, including changes due to changes to income tax rates, are recognized in profit or loss in the period in which they occur.

Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent future recovery is probable. Deferred tax assets are reduced to the extent it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profits will be available to allow all or part of the asset to be recovered.

### **Derivative instruments**

Derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and instruments designated for hedging activities are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measured at fair value. The Corporation does not use derivative instruments to hedge exposures to cash flow, market or foreign currency risks. Any change in the fair value of a derivative or an embedded derivative not designated as a hedging instrument is recognized as a gain or loss in profit or loss.

### **Financial instruments**

The Corporation has classified its financial assets and liabilities as follows:

Financial statement item	Classification	Measurement			
Cash	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost			
Accounts receivable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost			
Land use agreement receivable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost			
Short-term investment	Held to maturity	Amortized cost			
Long-term deposits	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost			
Restricted cash	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost			
Trade and other payables	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost			

# Note 4 - Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Financial instruments (continued)

Financial statement item	Classification	Measurement			
Callable debt	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost			
Long-term debt	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost			

### i. Non-derivative financial assets

The Corporation classifies non-derivative financial assets as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables, held to maturity investments, or available for sale financial assets as appropriate.

### Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss

A financial asset is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is classified as held for trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Financial assets in this category are measured at fair value, with any changes therein recognized in profit and loss when incurred, along with any attributable transaction costs.

#### Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are initially recognized at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

#### Held to maturity

A financial asset that has fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity, and which the Corporation has the positive intention and ability to hold until maturity. Such assets are initially recognized at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition held to maturity investments are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

### Available for sale

Financial assets classified as available for sale are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently measured at fair value with any changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income.

### ii. Non-derivative financial liabilities

The Corporation's non-derivative financial liabilities are classified as financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss or other financial liabilities, based on the purpose for which the liability was incurred.

#### Other financial liabilities

These financial liabilities are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transactions costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

### Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss

Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss are initially and subsequently measured at fair value with changes in fair values recognized in profit or loss.

### iii. Impairment of financial assets

At each reporting date, the Corporation assesses whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or a group of financial assets is impaired. A financial asset or group of financial assets is

# Note 4 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### Financial instruments (continued)

### iii. Impairment of financial assets (continued)

deemed to be impaired if, and only if, there is objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that has occurred after the initial recognition of the asset and that event has an impact on the estimated future cash flows of the financial asset or group of financial assets.

### **Borrowing Costs**

Borrowing costs are capitalized when such costs are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset. A qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to prepare for its intended use. All other borrowing costs are recognized as an expense in the period in which they are incurred.

### New standards not yet adopted

### i. Scope of the reporting entity

IFRS 10, "Consolidated Financial Statements" and IFRS 12, "Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities", were issued and replace IAS 27, "Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements" and Standing Interpretations Committee ("SIC") 12, "Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities" for guidance on the consolidation model which identifies the elements of control and provides a comprehensive standard on disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated structured entities. These standards are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of the new standards.

# ii. Stripping costs in the production phase of a surface mine

IFRIC 20, "Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine" considers when and how to account separately for benefits arising from stripping activity, as well as how to measure these benefits both initially and subsequently. In surface mining operations, entities may find it necessary to remove mine waste materials (overburden) to gain access to mineral ore deposits. This waste removal activity is known as 'stripping.' IFRIC 20 only deals with waste removal costs that are incurred in surface mining activity during the production phase of the mine ('production stripping costs'). This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard.

# iii. Joint arrangements

IFRS 11, "Joint Arrangements" was issued and supersedes IAS 31, "Interests in Joint Ventures" and SIC 13, "Jointly Controlled Entities-Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers", to establish principles for financial reporting by parties to a joint arrangement. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard.

#### iv. Fair value measurement

IFRS 13, "Fair Value Measurement" was issued to set out in a single IFRS a framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this standard.

# New standards not yet adopted (continued)

# v. Employee benefits

IAS 19, "Employee Benefits", was amended to eliminate the options to defer, or recognize in full in profit or loss, actuarial gains and losses, to streamline the presentation of changes in assets and liabilities arising from defined benefit plans and to enhance the disclosure requirements for defined benefit plans. This amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of these amendments.

# vi. Financial instruments classification and measurement

IFRS 9, "Financial Instruments" was issued and will replace IAS 39, "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement." The new standard has two measurement categories: amortized cost and fair value. All equity instruments are measured at fair value, and a debt instrument is measured at amortized cost only if the entity is holding it to collect contractual cash flows that represent principal and interest. The new standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard.

# Note 5 – Inventory

Inventory consists of the following:

	-	November 30, 2012	 November 30, 2011	_	December 1, 2010
Stockpiled crushed gravel	\$	1,385,400	\$ -	\$	-
Stockpiled sand		115,542	 -		-
	\$	1,500,942	\$ -	\$	-

Inventory with a production cost of \$1,477,582 was sold during fiscal 2012 and forms part of aggregate operating expenses.

### Note 6 – Long-Term Deposits

	_	November 30, 2012	-	November 30, 2011	. <u>-</u>	December 1, 2010
Security deposits on gravel leases	\$	256,150	\$	106,590	\$	25,050
Deposits on lease obligations	_	110,696	_	-		-
	\$	366,846	\$	106,590	\$	25,050
Note 7 – Restricted Cash	- \$_	November 30, 2012 213,015	\$	<b>November</b> <b>30, 2011</b> 25,522	\$	December 1, 2010 -

Under its long-term land use agreement with a camp provider (see Note 9) the Corporation has received and has placed funds on deposit totalling \$163,015 (2011- \$25,522) to be first applied toward any costs for reclamation of the Poplar Creek site.

The Corporation has placed funds on deposit totalling \$50,000 to be applied toward reclamation of the House River pit.

Notes to Financial Statements

Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

# Note 8 – Property and Equipment

	Crushing Spread	Equipment	Onsite buildings and fences	Office Complex	Scales and scale houses	Total
Cost at:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
December 1, 2010	-	703,762	95,760	165,016	273,051	1,237,589
additions	-	11,547	-	5,035	-	16,582
write-offs	-	-	-	-	-	-
November 30, 2011	-	715,309	95,760	170,051	273,051	1,254,171
additions	3,676,482	6,704,905	678,366	3,816	282,875	11,346,444
disposals	-	(2,092,751)	(229,000)	-	-	(2,321,751)
write-offs	-	(48,013)	-	-	-	(48,013)
November 30, 2012	3,676,482	5,279,450	545,126	173,867	555,926	10,230,851
Accumulated Depreciation at:						
December 1, 2010	-	303,712	17,799	1,375	55,792	378,678
additions	-	93,451	9,576	11,127	27,305	141,459
write-offs	-	-	-	-	-	-
November 30, 2011	-	397,163	27,375	12,502	83,097	520,137
additions	40,850	493,139	28,553	11,464	45,315	619,321
disposals	-	(50,649)	(2,862)	-	-	(53 <i>,</i> 511)
write-offs	-	(23,186)	-	-	-	(23,186)
November 30, 2012	40,850	816,467	53,066	23,966	128,412	1,062,761
Carrying value at:						
December 1, 2010	-	400,050	77,961	163,641	217,259	858,911
November 30, 2011	-	318,146	68,385	157,549	189,954	734,034
November 30, 2012	3,635,632	4,462,983	492,060	149,901	427,514	9,168,090
Carrying value of leased assets included above:						
November 30, 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-
November 30, 2012	3,635,632	2,111,769	305,454	-	67,131	6,119,986
Depreciation expense for	or the following	g periods:				Total
						\$
Year ending November 3	30, 2012					619,321
Year ending November 3	30, 2011					141,459

During the year the Corporation participated in lease buy back transactions (see Note 17 and Note 26).

**Notes to Financial Statements** 

Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

### Note 9 – Land Use Agreement Receivable

	November 30, 2012			November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010	
Land use agreement receivable Less current portion of land use agreement receivable	\$	1,620,860 660,873	\$	816,933 213,057	\$	-
Long-term land use agreement receivable	\$_	959,987	\$	603,876	\$	-

The Corporation has recognized a land use agreement receivable in connection with a long-term land use agreement with a work camp provider, whereby the Corporation transferred a 42 acre parcel of developed land out of the depleted portion of the Corporation's miscellaneous lease at Poplar Creek to the work camp provider. During fiscal 2011, the work camp provider constructed a lodge on the lease that can accommodate approximately 500 workers, primarily employed in the oil sands industry. Pursuant to the land use agreement, the work camp provider pays monthly fees and daily accommodation fees to the Corporation. The work camp provider will also contribute toward the estimated cost of decommissioning and restoration, in aggregate not to exceed the non-refundable amount of \$300,000, which the Corporation will maintain in a restricted cash account to be first applied toward any costs for decommissioning and restoration of the Poplar Creek site. The land use agreement commenced on March 1, 2011 and expires on October 19, 2015. The agreement will automatically renew for an equivalent term period, under the same terms and conditions, subject to amendments agreed to in writing by both parties, unless otherwise terminated earlier by written mutual agreement by both parties.

In determining the land use agreement receivable carrying value and the gain on land use agreement, an estimate of total future receipts under the land use agreement is required. The total estimated proceeds receivable by the Corporation under the agreement include both a fixed monthly component and estimated proceeds for daily work camp accommodation.

During June 2012, the work camp provider began operating a second 500 worker lodge that it had constructed on the 42 acre parcel of developed land the Corporation had transferred during fiscal 2011. The second lodge is also governed pursuant to the land agreement entered in 2011 between the Corporation and the work camp provider. The Corporation will not receive an increased fixed monthly fee; however, the Corporation will receive proceeds for actual daily accommodation at the second lodge at the same daily rate received at the first lodge. The work camp provider's contribution toward the estimated cost of decommissioning and restoration is unaffected by the addition of the second lodge, remaining not to exceed \$300,000 in aggregate.

At November 30, 2012 management valued the portion of the land use agreement receivable attributable to the second lodge at \$923,640. Total future cash flow in the amount of \$963,820 from estimated receipts for daily occupancy at the second lodge was discounted at a rate of 3.13%. The actual accommodation receipts from the second lodge were \$297,048 during its first six months ended November 30, 2012.

At November 30, 2012 management revalued the portion of the land use agreement receivable attributable to the first lodge at \$697,220. The revaluation was determined with reference to the monthly average accommodation rate experienced since inception at the first lodge, covering the period April 2011 through November 30, 2012. The future accommodation rate was projected to remain constant through October 19, 2015 at the actual historic level. Total future cash flow from estimated future receipts was then discounted at a rate of 3.13%, resulting in an increase of \$193,922 in carrying value of the land use agreement receivable, and was taken into income at November 30, 2012, as required under IFRS.

# Note 9 - Land Use Agreement Receivable (continued)

### Components of Gain on Land Use Agreement- Year ended November 30, 2012:

Lodge 2: Valuation of estimated future discounted receipts	\$1,026,938
Actual receipts over estimated receipts at lodge 2 through November 30, 2012	192,081
Lodge 1: Revaluation of estimated future discounted receipts	193,922
Increased future reclamation costs attributable to the land use agreement (Note 11)	<u>(12,368)</u>
	. ,

Gain on Land Use Agreement

#### <u>\$1,400,573</u>

The land use agreement receivable combined current and long-term carrying value of \$1,620,860 at November 30, 2012 is the estimated future discounted receipts from both lodges subsequent to November 30, 2012.

The average daily work camp occupancy rate used in the determination of total future proceeds is an estimate; therefore actual future proceeds under the land use agreement could vary significantly. Future changes in land use agreement receivable, if any, could have a material impact and would be reflected prospectively, as a change in accounting estimate.

### Note 10 – Resource Properties

	-	November 30, 2012	 November 30, 2011	 December 1, 2010
Land	\$	157,100	\$ 157,100	\$ 157,100
Mineral permits		46,250	41,250	40,000
Mineral leases		28,770	31,802	-
Decommissioning and Restoration costs		572,730	136,457	-
Exploration costs		3,151,690	3,104,396	3,182,276
Development costs		-	1,223,484	-
Production costs	_	1,939,205	 -	 -
	\$_	5,895,745	\$ 4,694,489	\$ 3,379,376

The land is located near Peace River, Alberta and was purchased as a potential gravel resource property.

The mineral permits are located largely in the Fort McMurray and Canadian Shield areas. They have a term of 14 years covering seven assessment periods of two years each. The spending commitment to retain the existing permits is \$5 per hectare for the first two year period, \$10 per hectare for the second two year period, \$10 per hectare for the third two year period, \$15 per hectare for the fourth two year period, \$15 per hectare for the fifth two year period, \$15 per hectare for the sixth two year period and \$15 per hectare for the seventh two year period.

The Corporation has seven mineral leases covering 12,800 hectares containing silica sand in the Wood Buffalo region of Alberta, referred to by the Corporation as the Firebag property, a portion of which which the Corporation may develop for the production of frac sand. The Corporation has four mineral leases covering 5,835.5 hectares containing salt in the area of Boyle, Alberta which the Corporation may develop for the production of salt. All leases are for a fifteen year period expiring May 11, 2026. Annual lease rental of \$3.50 per hectare is required as payment to maintain a mineral lease in good standing.

During the year ended November 30, 2011 the Corporation recognized an obligation for future decommissioning and restoration costs on its Kearl pit. A determination of the fair value of the Kearl pit liability assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability incurred as at November 30, 2012 of approximately \$308,175 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free

# Note 10 - Resource Properties (continued)

rate of 1.53%, resulting in a present value of \$262,053, and included in decommissioning and restoration costs (net of \$4,695 accumulated depreciation).

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation recognized an obligation for future decommissioning and restoration costs on its House River pit. A determination of the fair value of the House River pit liability assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability incurred to November 30, 2012 of approximately \$223,068 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.61%, resulting in a present value of \$144,704 and included in decommissioning and restoration costs (net of \$46,778 accumulated depreciation).

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation recognized an obligation for future decommissioning and restoration costs on its Logan pit. A determination of the fair value of the Logan pit liability assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability incurred to November 30, 2012 of approximately \$201,835 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2020. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.53%, resulting in a present value of \$165,973 and included in decommissioning and restoration costs (net of \$12,624 accumulated depreciation).

The following provides the land area covered by the Corporation's mineral permits:

	2012 (hectares)	<b>2011</b> (hectares)
Balance at the beginning of the year Mineral permits acquired during the year Minerals permits relinquished during the year	227,282 264,181 (52,132)	504,584 22,817 (300,119)
Balance at end of the year	439,331	227,282

Subsequent to November 30, 2012, the Corporation relinquished mineral permits totalling 10,880 hectares and acquired additional permits covering 109,934 hectares.

The exploration, development and production costs reflected on the following resource properties schedule were incurred in Northern Alberta, primarily in the Fort McMurray area.

During fiscal 2012 a total of \$670,389 (2011- \$451,656) had been written off pertaining to abandoned projects that had been previously capitalized. Management re-evaluated the future economic potential of these projects and determined that further financial investment would be unjustified. Consequently those projects were abandoned and their costs written off as a charge against current income.

During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2011, the Logan pit, Kearl pit and House River pit projects had moved into the development phase and their costs were tested for impairment at that time. During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012 these projects then moved into the production phase.

A description of each resource property reported in the following chart is provided:

### Logan pit

Logan is an 80.37-acre corporate owned pit located approximately 160 kilometers south of Fort McMurray. National Instrument 43-101 Resource Calculations for the Logan aggregate deposit includes 1,357,000 tonnes of "indicated" gravel and a further 662,000 tonnes of "inferred" gravel. The surface material lease on this property expires in 2020.

### Note 10 - Resource Properties (continued)

#### Kearl pit

Kearl is a 79.99-acre corporate owned pit located approximately 60 kilometers east of the Susan Lake gravel pit, near Fort McMurray. National Instrument 43-101 Resource Calculations for the Logan aggregate deposit includes 3,770,330 tonnes and 7,636,390 tonnes of "indicated" gravel and sand respectively, and a further 434,000 tonnes of "inferred" gravel. The surface material lease on this property expires in 2021.

#### House River pit

House River is a 79.98-acre corporate owned pit located approximately 11 kilometers east of highway 63 on the House River, near Fort McMurray. During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation sold 253,500 tonnes from this pit during its first year of production. The surface material lease on this property expires in 2021.

### Pelican Hill pit

Pelican Hill is a 79.7-acre corporate owned pit located approximately 70 kilometers southeast of the Hamlet of Wabasca, near Fort McMurray. A National Instrument 43-101 Resource Calculations for Pelican Hill pit has not been performed. The Corporation received surface material lease approval on this mixed sand and gravel pit in June, 2011, which expires in 2021. Given its location, the pit will be available for year-round aggregates extraction and sales.

### Boyle Project

The Corporation holds four mineral leases covering 5,835.5 hectares containing salt in the northeast area of Boyle, Alberta which the Corporation is considering for the development of salt. The Corporation maintains a 100% interest in these mineral leases.

#### Firebag Project

The Corporation holds seven mineral leases covering 12,800 hectares in the Wood Buffalo region of Alberta. The leases contain silica sand. The Corporation is in discussions with the provincial government in order to obtain approvals for the development of a portion of this property for the production of frac sand. The Corporation maintains a 100% interest in these mineral leases.

### Canadian Shield

The Corporation conducted exploration for lithium and other rare earth elements in the northeast corner of Alberta near the NWT border. During the year ended November 30, 2012 the project was abandoned on the basis that the economic potential of the project did not justify continued investment.

#### **Birch Mountain**

The Corporation holds mineral permits on 36,864 hectares in the Wood Buffalo region of Alberta in the Birch Mountain area approximately 150 kilometers north of Fort McMurray on which it has identified a deposit of silica sand. The Corporation will apply to obtain mineral leases on a portion of this property prior to these permits expiring during 2013.

### Dover Project

The Corporation holds mineral permits on 39,536 hectares in the Wood Buffalo region of Alberta in the Birch Mountain area approximately 150 kilometers north of Fort McMurray. On the property is a salt formation which the Corporation has identified and evaluated. The Corporation drilled a salt test well that terminated at a depth of 490 meters. Studies have indicated that this salt would provide a suitable feedstock for a Chlor-Alkali chemical plant to supply the oil sands. Management feels the property may be usable for housing industrial waste products or for storage of petroleum products, and is assessing its strategic options for this project.

### All Other Projects

This project category consists of approximately 70 individual projects which have not yet reached the stage where they have been separately broken out. The related properties are located in the Fort McMurray area, and principally pertain to the exploration of gravel, limestone, granite and other minerals.

# Note 10 – Resource Properties (continued)

Exploration Costs	Logan Pit	Kearl Pit	House River Pit	Pelican Hill Pit	Boyle Project	Firebag Project	Canadian Shield	Birch Mountain	Dover Project	All Other Projects	Total
Balance at November 30, 2011	\$-	-	-	70,278	50,028	285,712	117,413	431,418	1,004,544	1,145,003	\$3,104,396
Year ended November 30, 2012 activity											
Consulting fees	-	-	-	-	800	39,421	400	575	1,775	166,730	209,701
Testing and drilling	-	-	-	-	-	15,824	-	-	-	80,096	95,920
Equipment and aircraft rental Land leases	-	-	-	-	- 21,527	-	-	-	-	72,989	72,989
Salaries and employee benefits			_		43	46,730 17,989				104,202	68,257 122,234
Travel	-	-	-	-	-	10,393		-	-	32,805	43,198
Other	-	-	-	560	360	128	-	-	-	104,336	105,384
Abandoned projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	(117,813)	-	-	(552,576)	(670,389
Total		-	-	560	22,730	130,485	(117,413)	575	1,775	8,582	47,294
Transferred to Development Costs		-		-		-	-		-		
Cumulative Exploration Costs- November 30, 2012	\$ -	-	-	70,838	72,758	416,197	-	431,993	1,006,319		\$3,151,690
Development Costs											•
3alance at November 30, 2011	\$236,082	949,976	37,426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1,223,484
Year ended November 30, 2012 activity Intangible costs-											
Testing and drilling	-	12,206	33,272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45,478
Stripping	283,056	65,798	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		348,854
Wages Fuel	30,791	92,704	4,876	-	-	-	-	-	-		128,371
Other	60,425 44,625	48,332 103,605	1,477 23,683	-					-		110,234 171,913
Total			100,734	-	-		-	_	-	-	2,028,334
Transferred to Production Costs	654,979 (654,979)	1,272,621									
	(654,979)	(1,272,621)	(100,734)			-				-	(2,028,334
Cumulative Development Costs- November 30, 2012		-			-	-		-	-	-	-
Production Costs											
3alance at November 30, 2011	\$-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
/ear ended November 30, 2012 activity	654,979	1,272,621	100,734	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,028,334
Accumulated Depletion	(42,003)	(24,827)	(22,299)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(89,129
Cumulative Production Costs- November 30, 2012	612,976	1,247,794	78,435	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,939,205
Total Exploration, Development and Production Costs- November 30, 2012	\$612,976	\$ 1,247,794	\$ 78,435	\$70,838	\$72,758	\$416,197	\$-	\$431,993	\$ 1,006,319	\$1,153,585	\$5,090,895
Exploration Costs	Logan Pit	Kearl Pit	House River Pit	Pelican Hill Pit	Boyle Project	Firebag Project	Canadian Shield	Birch Mountain	Dover Project	All Other Projects	Total
Balance at December 1, 2010	\$134,508	68,855	26,277	18,473	47,453	35,760	108,988	430,238	1,004,544	1.307.180	\$3,182,276
ear end November 30, 2011 activity											
Consulting fees	375	1,375	-	3,865	1,575	96,393	8,425	-	-	69,176	181,184
Testing and drilling	-	-	-	-	-	104,872	-	1,180	-	13,067	119,119
Equipment and aircraft rental	-	-	-	2,000	-	1,818	-	-	-	14,190	18,008
Land leases	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,297	40,297
Salaries and employee benefits Travel	7,729	5,950	439	804	1,000	10,499 7,292	-	-	-	107,304	133,725 28,550
Other	38		7,242	45,065		29,078				21,187 24,258	105,681
Abandoned projects			.,						-	(451,656)	(451,656
Total	8,142	7,325	7,681	51,805	2,575	249,952	8,425	1,180	_	(162,177)	174,908
Transferred to Development Costs	(142,650)	(76,180)	(33,958)	-				-	-		(252,788
Cumulative Exploration Costs- November 30, 2011	\$ -	- (10,100)	- (00,000)	70,278	50,028	285,712	117,413	431,418	1,004,544	1,145,003	\$3,104,396
-	<u> </u>			, ,	,	, -	, -				
Development Costs	¢										¢
Balance at December 1, 2010	\$-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
	-						-	-	-	-	512,494
Tangible costs- Road building Intangible costs-	-	512,494		-	-					-	012,404

Testing and drilling 3,210 17,147 5,251 25,608 Stripping 48,000 190,786 238,786 --Equipment rental 13,875 64,246 2,712 80,833 -------Salaries and employee benefits 31,309 12,666 11,935 55,910 -------Consultants 25,855 16,583 -. . --42,438 . \_ Other 113,833 136,054 17,528 267,415 . Cumulative Development Costs- November 30, 2011 236,082 949,976 37,426 1,223,484 ---Total Exploration and Development Costs-

37,426

70,278

50,028

285,712

\$236,082

949,976

November 30, 2011

117,413 431,418 1,004,544 1,145,003 \$4,327,880

Notes to Financial Statements

Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

# Note 11 – Intangible Assets

ote 11 – Intangible Assets			Poplar Creek	
	Susan Lake	Poplar Creek	Decommissioning	
	Management	Management	and Restoration	
	Contract	Contract	Costs	Total
Cost at:	\$	\$	\$	\$
December 1, 2010	7,800,000	105,000	257,182	8,162,182
additions	-	-	176,222	176,222
disposal of costs related to land				
use agreement	-	-	(63,837)	(63,837)
November 30, 2011	7,800,000	105,000	369,567	8,274,567
additions (reductions)	-	-	(4,963)	(4,963)
November 30, 2012	7,800,000	105,000	364,604	8,269,604
Accumulated Amortization at:				
December 1, 2010	1,757,407	50 <i>,</i> 098	120,183	1,927,688
amortization for the year	866,667	24,706	61,404	952,777
write-offs	-	30,196	187,980	218,176
November 30, 2011	2,624,074	105,000	369,567	3,098,641
amortization for the year	866,667	-	-	866,667
additions (reductions)	-	-	(4,963)	(4,963)
November 30, 2012	3,490,741	105,000	364,604	3,960,345
Carrying value at:				
	6 042 502	F 4 002	120.000	C 224 404
December 1, 2010 November 30, 2011	6,042,593	54,902	136,999	6,234,494
November 30, 2011	5,175,926 4,309,259	-	-	5,175,926 4,309,259
•		-	-	4,309,259
Amortization expense for the follo	• •	hansiya incoma a	competization of inta	ngihlo
(reported on the statements of ne assets)	c income and compre	nensive income a		uRinie
assets)			Poplar Creek	
	Susan Lake	Poplar Creek	Decommissioning	
	Management	Management	and Restoration	

	Susan Lake Management Contract \$	Poplar Creek Management Contract \$	Decommissioning and Restoration Costs \$	Total \$
Year ending November 30, 2012	866,667	-	-	866,667
Year ending November 30, 2011	866,667	24,706	61,404	952,777

Intangible assets consist of two management contracts with the Province of Alberta relating to the management of aggregate pits at Poplar Creek, Alberta and Susan Lake, Alberta. The Susan Lake management contract is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the life of the contract. As at November 30, 2012 the remaining term of the contract is 60 months. The Poplar Creek pit has been depleted and accordingly its management contract and decommissioning and restoration costs carrying values were written off at November 30, 2011.

During the year ended November 30, 2012, the estimate for future decommissioning and restoration costs for the Poplar Creek pit decreased by \$4,963. Of the decrease, \$12,368 was an increase attributable to the 42 acres related to the land use agreement (Note 9), and \$17,331 was a decrease attributable to the 124

# Note 11 - Intangible Assets (continued)

acre laydown storage yard. \$12,368 was recorded as a reduction in the gain on the land use agreement, and \$17,331 was credited to intangible assets and subsequently impaired and included in the recovery of write down of intangible assets.

The terms of the contracts give the Province of Alberta the right to terminate the contracts without cause upon three months written notice. The contracts provide that the Province of Alberta may at any time during the term of the agreement require the Corporation to operate the tender location in cooperation with oil sand lease development. The Province of Alberta also has the right to withdraw any portion of the lands from the contracts and those lands withdrawn shall cease to be the responsibility of the Corporation with respect to decommissioning and restoration. As at November 30, 2012 the contracts are in effect, and no portions of the lands have been withdrawn for oil sand lease development (Note 23 c).

# Note 12- Goodwill

The goodwill arose as a result of the acquisition of Aggregates Management Inc. that closed on November 20, 2008. The acquired company held the management contracts to operate on behalf of the Province of Alberta, two aggregate pits in the Fort McMurray area of Alberta. Impairment of goodwill was tested at transition on December 1, 2010, at November 30, 2011 and at November 30, 2012 with a conclusion reached that no impairment has occurred.

The Susan Lake pit cash generating unit ("CGU") represents virtually all of the revenues and cash inflows of the acquired company, with the result that all goodwill is allocated to the Susan Lake pit CGU for the purposes of impairment testing.

The recoverable amount of a CGU is determined based on the higher of value in use calculations or fair value less cost to sell. The Corporation's value in use calculations use after-tax cash flow projections expected to be generated by the CGU based on the actual results of operations from the preceding fiscal year. The cash flows were done over duration equal to the remaining life of the Susan Lake management contract (five years, six years and seven years at November 30, 2012, November 30, 2011 and December 1, 2010, respectively). No growth rate was applied to the projections and a discount rate of 7% had been used based on the Corporation's after-tax weighted cost of capital.

Note 13 – Callable Debt	Novem 30, 20		November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$150,000 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 1.875%, due December 31, 2013.	\$	-	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 5,550,000
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$771 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 2%, due June 30, 2013.		-	14,646	23,896
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$2,583 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 2%, due September 30, 2015.		_	118,833	149,833
	\$	_	\$3,883,479	\$ 5,723,729

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the callable debt had been refinanced with proceeds from long-term debt (see Note 14).

### Notes to Financial Statements

### Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

Note 14 – Long-Term Debt	November 30, 2012	November 30, 2011	Decem 1, 201	
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$83,333 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 1.75%, due June 8, 2016	\$ 3,583,333	\$-	\$	-
Deferred long-term debt transaction costs amortized over life of term debt	(34,903)			-
Principal due within one year	3,548,430 1,000,000	-		-
	\$2,548,430	\$-	\$	-

The principal repayment requirements for the subsequent four years are as follows:

December 1, 2012 – November 30, 2013	\$1,000,000
December 1, 2013 – November 30, 2014	\$1,000,000
December 1, 2014 – November 30, 2015	\$1,000,000
December 1, 2015 – November 30, 2016	<u>\$ 583,333</u>
	<u>\$3,583,333</u>

The term debt is subject to an annual cash sweep of 20% free cash flow or a minimum of \$350,000, whichever is larger, if the Corporation's annual funded debt to EBITDA ratio is equal to or greater than 2.0 to 1.0. The cash sweep covenant is to be waived once the outstanding term debt reduces to \$2,500,000 or if the Corporation's annual funded debt to EBITDA ratio is less than 2.0 to 1.0. For the year ended November 30, 2012 the ratio was less than 2.0 to 1.0 therefore a cash sweep is not reflected in the principal repayment requirements.

The following security was provided for the long-term debt, the lease obligation (Note 15), the demand revolving operating loan, and the following additional credit facilities:

- general security agreement creating a first priority security interest in all present and after acquired personal property of the Corporation and a floating charge over all the Corporation's present and after acquired real property;
- collateral land mortgage over half of a section of land located near Peace River, Alberta (Note 11);
- assignment of risk insurance;
- environmental agreement and indemnity;
- security agreement over cash, credit balances and deposit instruments; and
- current account overdraft agreement in support of line of credit.

The Corporation has access to a \$3,000,000 demand operating loan with a sub-limit of \$2,000,000 available for letters of commercial credit. The operating loan bears interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 1%. No balance was outstanding on the operating loan, apart from the letters of credit described below, which bear a different rate of interest.

Availability of operating loan borrowing is subject to margin requirements, and is determined based upon acceptable accounts receivable and inventory.

The Corporation has a letter of commercial credit for \$603,000 to the benefit of the Government of Alberta for decommissioning and restoration at the Susan Lake pit. A cost of 2.50% per annum is charged for the letter of commercial credit. A guaranteed investment certificate in the amount of \$603,000 had served as security on the letter of credit. The security was released during the year ended November 30, 2012 as a result of debt refinancing.

# Note 14 - Long-Term Debt (continued)

The Corporation has a letter of commercial credit for \$248,760 to the benefit of the Government of Alberta for decommissioning and restoration in relation to a miscellaneous lease for a storage yard located at the Poplar Creek site. A cost of 2.50% per annum is charged for the letter of commercial credit.

The Corporation has a letter of commercial credit for \$500,000 to the benefit of the Government of Alberta for decommissioning and restoration at the Poplar Creek pit. A cost of 2.50% per annum is charged for the letter of commercial credit.

The Corporation has fully accessed a \$6,000,000 leasing facility to finance the acquisition of equipment. See Lease Obligation (Note 15).

The Corporation has access to a corporate credit card facility, up to a maximum of \$100,000.

As at November 30, 2012 the Corporation is in compliance with the lender's covenants.

Note 15 – Lease Obligation	November 30, 2012	Nover 30, 2		Dece 1, 2	
Lease, repayable in monthly instalments of \$38,817 including interest at 4.124%, due June 30, 2017	\$1,910,190	\$	-	\$	-
Lease, repayable in monthly instalments of \$65,253 including interest at 4.250%, due August 31, 2017	3,362,716		-		-
Lease, repayable in monthly instalments of \$6,627 including interest at 4.250%, due August 31, 2017	341,595		-		-
	5,614,501		-		-
Principal due within one year	1,113,444		-		
	\$4,501,057	\$	-	\$	

Future minimum lease payments for the subsequent five years are as follows:

December 1, 2012 – November 30, 2013	\$1,328,355
December 1, 2013 – November 30, 2014	1,328,355
December 1, 2014 – November 30, 2015	1,328,355
December 1, 2015 – November 30, 2016	1,328,355
December 1, 2016 – August 31, 2017	<u>880,116</u>
	<u>\$6,193,536</u>
Less interest included in payments:	
Year 1	\$ 214,911
Years 2 - 5	364,124
	<u>\$   579,035</u>
Lease loan principal outstanding	<u>\$5,614,501</u>

Security is provided for the lease obligation. See Long-Term Debt (Note 14).

### Note 16 – Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

The Corporation has recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision in connection with Poplar Creek management agreement and related surface material lease acquired November 20, 2008, with the Kearl pit surface material lease for land disturbance occurring during the year ended November 30, 2011,

### Note 16 - Decommissioning and Restoration Provision (continued)

and with the House River pit surface material lease and with the Logan pit surface material lease for land disturbance occurring during the year ended November 30, 2012.

	 2012	 2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 587,664	\$ 267,781
Change in interest rate	59,448	14,241
Accretion	14,971	7,204
Change in estimate	83,014	177,816
Kearl pit addition during the year	-	120,622
House River pit addition during year	174,348	-
Logan pit addition during year	 178,596	 -
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,098,041	\$ 587,664

A determination of the fair value of the Poplar Creek provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability as at November 30, 2012 of approximately \$472,270. This pertains to both the depleted 42 acre parcel of land transferred under a long-term land use agreement with a work camp provider (Note 9), and the depleted 124 acres on which the Corporation holds a miscellaneous lease to develop a storage yard within the Poplar Creek pit. The decommissioning and restoration costs are expected to be expended at the expiry of the land use agreement in 2015 plus an expected five year renewal through 2020, and at the expiry of the miscellaneous lease term in 2013, with decommissioning and restoration expected to be completed during 2013. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.53% on the 42 acre parcel of land transferred under a long-term land use agreement, and 1.08% on the depleted 124 acres within the Poplar Creek pit. The Corporation has provided a \$500,000 letter of credit to the benefit of the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the Poplar Creek management agreement and related surface material lease (Note 11). These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%. The estimated future decommissioning and restoration cost associated with the Poplar Creek pit was increased by \$4,525 during the year ended November 30, 2012.

During the year ended November 30, 2011 the Corporation recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision on its Kearl pit. A determination of the fair value of the Kearl pit provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability as at November 30, 2012 of approximately \$308,175 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.53%. The Corporation has provided a \$79,990 security deposit paid to the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the Kearl pit surface materials lease. These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%. The estimated future decommissioning and restoration cost associated with the Kearl pit was increased by \$133,221 during the year ended November 30, 2012 with the increase added to the related asset.

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision on its House River pit. A determination of the fair value of the House River pit provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the provision as at November 30, 2012 of approximately \$223,068 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.61%. The Corporation has provided a \$79,980 security deposit paid to the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the House River pit surface materials lease. These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%.

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision on its Logan pit. A determination of the fair value of the Logan pit provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the provision as at November 30, 2012 of

# Note 16 – Decommissioning and Restoration Provision (continued)

approximately \$201,835 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2020. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.53%. The Corporation has provided a \$80,370 security deposit paid to the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the Logan pit surface materials lease. These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%.

No decommissioning and restoration provision has been provided for the Susan Lake management agreement as either a third party will assume the retirement costs or the specific area of the pit has not been environmentally disturbed.

In view of uncertainties concerning decommissioning and restoration provisions, the ultimate costs could be materially different from the amounts estimated. The estimate of future decommissioning and restoration provisions is subject to change based on amendments to applicable laws and legislation. Future changes in decommissioning and restoration provisions, if any, could have a significant impact and would be reflected prospectively, as a change in accounting estimate.

### Note 17 – Deferred Gain on Sale and Leaseback

	November 30, 2012	 November 30, 2011		December 1, 2010
\$_	34,709	\$ -	\$	

The Corporation received lease proceeds on the sale and leaseback of property and equipment in an amount of \$38,515 exceeding the carrying value of the assets that were sold. The deferred gain on sale and leaseback will be realized over the 60 month term of the lease. During the year ended November 30, 2012, \$3,806 of the deferred gain on sale and leaseback was realized and taken into income.

# Note 18 - Income Taxes

The estimation of the Corporation's deferred tax assets and liabilities involves significant judgment around a number of assumptions. Judgment must be used to determine the Corporation's future earning potential, and the expected timing of the reversal of deferred tax assets and liabilities. Further uncertainties are the result of interpretation of tax legislation which might differ from the ultimate assessment of the tax authorities. These differences may affect the final amount or the timing of the payment of taxes.

Deferred taxes reflects the tax effects of non-capital losses carried forward and the effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for income tax purposes.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the net deferred tax liability are:

	November 30, 2012		November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010
Deferred tax assets:		-		
Cumulative eligible capital	\$ 40,628	\$	25,079	\$ 26,756
Prepaid gravel	37,500		37,500	-
Deferred gain on sale and leaseback	8,677		-	-
Share issue costs	-		13,990	13,990
	86,805	_	76,569	40,746

Note 18 - Income Taxes (continued)

		November 30, 2012	November 30, 2011		December 1, 2010
Deferred tax liabilities:	-			-	
Property and equipment		163,189	65,684		59,465
Resource properties		983,508	806,985		749,056
Land use agreement receivable		405,215	204,233		-
Intangible assets		1,077,315	1,296,621		1,537,901
-		2,629,227	 2,373,523		2,346,422
Net deferred tax liability	\$	2,542,422	\$ 2,296,954	\$	2,305,676

Income tax expense varies from the amount that would result from applying the combined federal and provincial income tax rates to income before income taxes. These variances are presented in the following table.

	_	2012	_	2011
Income before income taxes Statutory Canadian combined corporate tax rate	\$	6,480,414 25.0%	\$	3,873,619 26.5%
Expected tax expense		1,620,104		1,026,509
Increase in income taxes resulting from: Non-deductible expenses Other Changes in income tax rates	\$ _	137,142 12,759 - 1,770,005	\$ _	35,364 30,388 567 1,092,828
The provision for taxes is comprised of:				
Provision for current taxes Provision for deferred taxes (benefit)	\$ _ \$_	1,524,537 245,468 1,770,005	\$ _ \$_	1,101,550 (8,722) 1,092,828

# Note 19 - Share Capital

 Authorized: An unlimited number of Common voting shares with no par value Preferred shares, issuable in series

# b) The Corporation has issued common voting shares of its share capital as follows:

	20	)12	2011			
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Amount		
Balance at beginning of year	27,199,166	\$ 6,655,116	27,149,165 \$	6,585,761		
Transfer from contributed surplus on exercise of stock options	-	227,117	-	54,358		
Repurchased shares (Note 19 c)	-	-	(215,000)	(52,154)		
Issued shares on exercise of stock options (Note 19 d)	781,667	166,847	265,001	67,151		
Balance at end of year	27,980,833	\$ 7,049,080	27,199,166 \$	6,655,116		

c) Repurchased common shares:

During the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2011 the Corporation had in place a normal course issuer bid that commenced on July 5, 2010 and terminated on July 5, 2011. During the year ended November 30, 2011 the aggregate cost of the common shares purchased and cancelled was \$58,498 of which \$52,154 was recorded as a charge against share capital for the average carrying value of the common shares of approximately \$0.24 per share with \$6,344 charged to retained earnings.

During the years ended November 30, 2011 and November 30, 2012 the Corporation had in place a normal course issuer bid that commenced on August 12, 2011 and terminated on August 12, 2012 (the "2011 Bid"). During the years ended November 30, 2011 and November 30, 2012 no common shares were repurchased pursuant to the 2011 Bid.

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation had in place a normal course issuer bid (the "2012 Bid"). In accordance with the terms of the Bid, the Corporation may purchase up to a total of 1,388,625 common shares representing approximately 5% of the common shares of the Corporation issued and outstanding as at August 9, 2012. The Bid commenced on August 14, 2012 and will terminate on August 14, 2013. All acquisitions of common shares by the Corporation pursuant to the 2012 Bid will be made through the facilities of TSX Venture Exchange at the market price for the common shares at the time of the acquisition. The purchase and payment for the common shares will be made by the Corporation in accordance with the by-laws and rules of the TSX Venture.

There are no persons acting jointly or in concert with the Corporation in respect of the 2012 Bid. The Corporation is making the 2012 Bid in order to stabilize the trading price and provide liquidity in the market for its common shares. During the year ended November 30, 2012 no common shares had been repurchased pursuant to the 2012 Bid.

d) Stock options:

The Corporation has issued options to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Corporation as incentives.

The continuity of the Corporation's outstanding stock options is as follows:

		2012		2011
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding, beginning of year	2,414,100	\$ 0.33	2,645,767	\$ 0.32
Issued	1,410,000	\$ 0.69	70,000	\$ 0.35
Expired or cancelled	(1,205,767)	\$ 0.40	(36,666)	\$ 0.26
Exercised	(781,667)	\$ 0.29	(265,001)	\$ 0.25
Options outstanding, end of year	1,836,666	\$ 0.58	2,414,100	\$ 0.33

Of the outstanding stock options, 781,666 (2011- 2,147,433) options were exercisable at November 30, 2012 at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.42 per share (2011- \$0.34).

The weighted average remaining contractual life of the options is 3.91 years (2011- 1.87). The weighted average share price on the dates options were exercised is \$0.95 (2011- \$0.25).

d) Stock options: (continued)

The Corporation's stock option plan provides that the Board of Directors may from time to time, in its discretion, grant to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Corporation, or any subsidiary of the Corporation, the option to purchase common shares. The stock option plan provides for a floating maximum limit of 10% of the outstanding common shares, as permitted by the policies of the TSX Venture Exchange. Options may be exercisable for up to ten years from the date of grant, but the Board of Directors has the discretion to grant options that are exercisable for a shorter period. Options under the stock option plan are not transferable or assignable. Pursuant to the stock option plan, options must be exercised within a reasonable period following termination of employment or cessation of the optionee's position with the Corporation, or such other period established by the Board of Directors, provided that if the cessation of office, directorship, consulting arrangement or employment was by reason of death or disability, the option may be exercised within one year, subject to the expiry date.

On March 29, 2012, the Corporation granted 1,205,000 stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers, employees and consultants. The options vest as to one-third on each of August 29, 2012, March 29, 2013 and August 29, 2013. The fair value of the options on the grant date was estimated at \$556,107 (\$0.4615 weighted average fair value per option) using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Exercise price	\$0.63 (equal to closing price on date of grant)
Dividend yield	Nil
Expected Volatility	97%
Risk free rate of return	1.55%
Expected life	5 years
Forfeiture rate	0%

On August 24, 2012, the Corporation granted 205,000 stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers, employees and consultants. The options vest as to one-third on each of February 24, 2013, August 24, 2013 and February 24, 2014. The fair value of the options on the grant date was estimated at \$154,508 (\$0.7537 weighted average fair value per option) using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Exercise price Dividend yield	\$1.04 (equal to closing price on date of grant) Nil
Expected Volatility	96%
Risk free rate of return	1.4%
Expected life	5 years
Forfeiture rate	0%

On October 6, 2011, the Corporation granted 70,000 stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers, employees and consultants. The options vest as to one-third on each of April 5, 2012, October 5, 2012 and April 5, 2013. The fair value of the options on the grant date was estimated at \$17,612 (\$0.2516 weighted average fair value per option) using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Exercise price	\$0.35 (equal to closing price on date of grant)
Dividend yield	Nil
Expected Volatility	76%
Risk free rate of return	1.0%
Expected life	5 years
Forfeiture rate	0%

d) Stock options: (continued)

The expected volatility was determined using historical trading data for the Corporation for a period commensurate with the expected life of the options.

The following is a summary of the outstanding stock options:

Expiry Date	 ercise Price	Number of Options Outstanding November 30, 2012	Number of Options Outstanding November 30, 2011	Number of Options Outstanding December 1, 2010
January 8, 2012	\$ .40	-	955,767	955,767
October 15, 2012	\$ .26	-	150,000	150,000
May 13, 2013	\$ .40	25,000	75,000	75,000
September 21, 2014	\$ .25	125,000	450,000	625,000
October 15, 2014	\$ .40	-	100,000	100,000
November 2, 2014	\$ .40	-	100,000	100,000
October 15, 2015	\$ .26	280,000	513,333	640,000
October 6, 2016	\$ .35	70,000	70,000	-
March 29, 2017	\$ .63	1,131,666	-	-
August 24, 2017	\$ 1.04	205,000	-	
		1,836,666	2,414,100	2,645,767

### e) Net income per common share

		For the Year Ended November 30,			
		2012		2011	
Net income per common share-basic					
Net income Weighted average number of common	\$_	4,710,409	\$_	2,780,791	
shares outstanding		27,502,403		27,030,621	
Net income per common share	\$	0.171	\$	0.103	
Net income per common share-diluted					
Net income		4,710,409	\$	2,780,791	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Effect of dilutive stock options	_	27,502,403 248,687	-	27,030,621 266,388	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, assuming dilution		27,751,090		27,297,009	
Net income per common share	\$	0.170	\$	0.102	

During the year ended November 30, 2012, 205,000 options outstanding at November 30, 2012 were not dilutive.

During the year ended November 30, 2011, 1,230,767 options outstanding at November 30, 2011 were not dilutive.

e) Net income per common share (continued)

Subsequent to November 30, 2012 the Corporation granted 560,000 stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers, employees and consultants having an exercise price of \$1.64 (equal to the closing price on date of grant).

# Note 20 – Finance Costs

	_	2012	 2011
Interest on callable debt	\$	90,168	\$ 237,893
Interest on long-term debt		84,997	-
Interest on lease obligations Amortization on long-term debt		67,109	-
transaction costs		6,346	-
Accretion		14,971	7,204
	\$	263,591	\$ 245,097

### **Note 21 - Related Party Transactions**

During the year ended November 30, 2012, the Corporation incurred expenses of \$979,517 (2011 - \$513,587) for services provided by certain directors and officers and certain companies controlled by certain directors and officers of the Corporation as further described below.

These fees are recorded in the financial statements as follows:

		2012	_	2011
Directors and Officers:				
Directors fees and expenses	\$	54,764	\$	33,389
Travel and miscellaneous		31,498		45,857
Exploration and development costs	_		_	839
		86,262		80,085
Companies controlled by directors and officers:				
Consulting fees for services rendered		263,859		346,399
Travel and miscellaneous		12,822		13,357
Exploration and development costs		548,574		13,746
Rent	_	68,000	_	60,000
	_	893,255	_	433,502
	\$	979,517	\$	513,587

All related party transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

### Note 22 – Compensation of Key Management

Key management personnel include members of the Board of Directors and the senior leadership team. Compensation for key management personnel, including directors, was as follows:

	_	2012	_	2011
Salaries and other benefits	\$	845,629	\$	683,195
Share-based benefits		311,399		80,093
	\$	1,157,028	\$	763,288

### Note 23 - Financial Instruments

The Corporation's financial instruments consist of cash, restricted cash, accounts receivable, land use agreement receivable, short-term investment, long-term deposits, trade and other payables, lease obligation, callable and long-term debt.

a) Fair Value

Due to the short-term nature of cash, accounts receivable, trade and other payables the carrying value of these financial instruments approximate their fair value. The fair value of short-term investment, restricted cash and callable and long-term debt approximates their carrying values as they are at the market rate of interest. Long-term deposits are refundable. The fair value of long-term deposits is not materially different from carrying value. The lease obligation is at a fixed rate of interest. The fair value of the lease obligation is not materially different from carrying value as they are at the market rate of interest. Land use agreement receivable is an estimate of discounted future cash flow with carrying value approximating fair value.

b) Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Corporation to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, restricted cash, short-term investment, accounts receivable, long-term deposits and land use agreement receivable. The Corporation's maximum credit risk at November 30, 2012 is the carrying value of these financial assets.

In the normal course of business the Corporation evaluates the financial condition of its customers on a continuing basis and reviews the credit worthiness of all new customers. Management assesses the need for allowances for potential credit losses by considering the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends and other information. At November 30, 2012, 56.6% of the Corporation's accounts receivable was due from four customers.

The Corporation's aged accounts receivable are comprised of 35.6% current, 45.8% past due up to 60 days and 18.6% past due over 60 days. While certain amounts are past due, management considers there is no impairment of the accounts receivable except as provided in the following paragraph.

Included in accounts receivable past due over 60 days is \$623,002 owed to the Corporation which is under dispute. The Corporation has provided \$119,207 as allowance for doubtful accounts, which was expensed to bad debts during fiscal 2012. Management expects to collect the unimpaired balance of the receivable during the year ending November 30, 2013.

Credit risk associated with cash, restricted cash and short-term investment is minimized substantially by ensuring that these financial assets are placed with major financial institutions that have been accorded strong investment grade rating. Long-term deposits are held with the Government of Alberta thus bear little credit risk.

c) Liquidity Risk

The Corporation manages liquidity risk by ensuring sufficient funds are available to meet liabilities when they come due. Under its long-term credit facilities, the Corporation must maintain certain ratios. The Corporation has complied with all ratios as at November 30, 2012.

As at November 30, 2012 the Corporation has sufficient working capital to fund ongoing operations and meet its liabilities when they come due. Accordingly, the Corporation is not exposed to significant liquidity risk. The Corporation has identified its financial liabilities as trade and other payables, term debt, including interest (excluding deferred transaction costs) and lease obligation, including interest.

# Note 23 - Financial Instruments (continued)

The following are the timings of the undiscounted cash outflows relating to financial liabilities:

c) Liquidity Risk (continued)

	0 -1 year	2-3 years	4 - 5 years	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Trade and other payables	3,048,649	-	-	3,048,649
Long-term debt, including interest	1,148,437	2,154,375	592,569	3,895,381
Lease obligation, including interest	1,328,355	2,656,710	2,208,471	6,193,536
Total	5,525,441	4,811,085	2,801,040	13,137,566

The Corporation's existing credit facilities and cash flow from operating activities is expected to be greater than anticipated capital expenditures and the contractual maturities of the Corporation's financial liabilities as at November 30, 2012. The expectation could be adversely affected by a material negative change in the demand for aggregate or the Corporation's management contracts (Note 11).

# d) Foreign Currency Risk

The Corporation maintains a USD currency bank account with a nominal balance for the infrequent need to fund supplier purchases denominated in USD currency. As at November 30, 2012 the Corporation had USD cash on hand in the amount of \$10,020 (CAD \$9,941), and no USD denominated trade and other payables or receivables. As the amounts involved are unsubstantial management feels risk is minimal.

### e) Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation has an interest bearing term deposit and carries variable rate debt financing. Given the interest rate is fixed on the term deposit the Corporation is not exposed to any interest rate risk on this financial instrument. However, the Corporation is exposed to interest rate risk on the variable rate term loan and letters of credit facility. A 100 basis point increase in the interest rate on the term loan and letters of credit facility would decrease net income and comprehensive income by approximately \$37,000.

The Corporation's term debt bears interest at 1.75% over the bank's prime lending rate. The Corporation's letters of credit facility bears interest at 2.5% over the bank's prime lending rate. As the bank's prime lending rate fluctuates so will the cost of borrowing.

# Note 24 - Capital Disclosures

The capital structure of the Corporation consists of net debt (borrowings as detailed in Note 13, Note 14 and Note 15 offset by cash and bank balances) plus equity (comprising share capital, contributed surplus and retained earnings). The Corporation's objective when managing capital is to provide sufficient capital to cover normal operating and capital expenditures. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Corporation may issue debt, purchase shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bids or issue new shares. The Corporation is subject to externally imposed capital requirements as discussed below.

The Corporation is subject to externally imposed capital requirements represented by various bank covenants related to its term debt and operating loan. These covenants include restrictions on capital expenditures and buy-back of share capital, minimum debt service coverage, minimum working capital ratio and a maximum funded debt to EBITDA ratio. The covenants will result in restrictions on the use of capital. As at November 30, 2012 the Corporation is in compliance with these covenants.

# Note 24 - Capital Disclosures (continued)

There were no changes to the Corporation's capital management during the year ended November 30, 2012.

Note 25 - Supplemental Income Statement Disclosure	 2012	2011
Employee benefits	\$ 2,395,282	\$ 1,393,399

Employee benefits include wages, salaries, severance pay, bonus, group benefit premiums, and CPP and EI and WCB contributions.

Reliance on major customers	\$ <u>8</u>	3,446,191	\$	6,260,902
-----------------------------	-------------	-----------	----	-----------

During the year, the Corporation recognized aggregate sales to individual customers whose purchases represented more than 10% of the Corporation's sales revenue, as follows:

Sales to first major customer	\$ 3,445,402	\$ 2,474,616
Sales to second major customer	2,594,364	2,071,340
Sales to third major customer	 2,406,425	 1,714,946
Sales to major customers	\$ 8,446,191	\$ 6,260,902

During the year ended November 30, 2012, total sales of \$8,446,191 (57.4%) were sold to three customers whose purchases represented more than 10% of the Corporation's annual sales revenue (2011-\$6,260,902) (72.0%).

Note 26 - Supplemental Cash Flow Information		2012		2011		
The Corporation paid or received cash during the year for the following:						
Interest paid Interest received Income taxes paid	\$ \$ \$	227,859 25,402 1,493,788	\$ \$ \$	240,144 1,219 1,525,446		

During the year ended November 30, 2012 the Corporation obtained property and equipment in the amount of \$6,001,707 by entering into finance leases. Of the total, property and equipment in the amount of \$2,305,454 was acquired on a sale and leaseback basis, and a further \$3,696,253 of acquired property and equipment was not previously owned by the Corporation. Acquiring assets by entering into a finance lease involves non-cash investing and financing, and accordingly does not appear in the statements of cash flows.

No finance leases had been entered into during the comparative period.

# Note 27 – Transition to IFRS

The Corporation's IFRS accounting policies presented in Note 4 have been applied in preparing the financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2012, the comparative information and the opening balance sheet at the Transition Date.

The Corporation has applied IFRS 1, *First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards* in preparing these IFRS financial statements. The effects of the transition to IFRS on equity, comprehensive income and reported cash flows are presented in this section and are further explained in the notes that accompany the tables below. There was no significant impact on the statements of cash flows as a result of adopting IFRS.

# First time adoption and exceptions applied

Upon transition to IFRS, IFRS 1 mandates certain exceptions and permits certain exemptions from full retrospective application. The Company has applied the mandatory exceptions and elected certain optional exemptions.

# Mandatory exceptions to retrospective application

### Estimates

Hindsight was not used to create or revise estimates. The Corporation's estimates in accordance with IFRS at the date of transition are consistent with estimates made for the same date in accordance with Canadian GAAP.

### Elected exemptions from full retrospective application

### Share-based payment transactions

The Corporation has elected under IFRS to not apply IFRS 2 *Share-based Payments* to stock options that have vested by December 1, 2010, the Transition Date.

### **Business Combinations**

The Corporation has elected not to apply IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* retrospectively to business combinations that occurred before the date of transition to IFRS.

### **Borrowing Costs**

The Corporation has elected not to capitalize borrowing costs related to any qualifying asset that has started development as at the transition date. The capitalization of borrowing costs will commence following the transition date.

### **Presentation differences**

Some financial statement line items are described differently under IFRS than they were under Canadian GAAP. These line items (with Canadian GAAP descriptions in brackets) are:

- Deferred taxes (Future income taxes)
- Share-based compensation (stock-based compensation)
- The statement of net income, comprehensive income and retained earnings has been replaced by two separate statements: statement of comprehensive income and statement of changes in equity
- Trade and other payables (accounts payable and accrued liabilities)
- Depreciation and amortization (Amortization)
- Decommissioning and restoration provision (asset retirement obligation)
- Finance costs (interest on callable debt and accretion)

Notes to Financial Statements

Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

# Note 27 – Transition to IFRS (continued)

# Reconciliation of balance sheets

Reconciliation of balance sheets		As at December 1, 2010		)10	As at November 30, 2011			
		Canadian GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	Canadian GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	
	Note	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
ASSETS								
CURRENT								
Cash		1,296,812	-	1,296,812	1,397,883	-	1,397,883	
Accounts receivable		3,210,246	-	3,210,246	3,778,126	-	3,778,126	
Prepaid expenses		502,546	-	502,546	327,510	-	327,510	
Current portion of land use agreement receivable		-	-	-	213,057	-	213,057	
Short-term investment		603,000	-	603,000	603,000	-	603,000	
		5,612,604	-	5,612,604	6,319,576	-	6,319,576	
LONG-TERM DEPOSITS		25,050	-	25,050	106,590	-	106,590	
RESTRICTED CASH		-	-	-	25,522	-	25,522	
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		858,911	-	858,911	734,034	-	734,034	
LAND USE AGREEMENT RECEIVABLE		-	-	-	603,876	-	603,876	
RESOURCE PROPERTIES	i	3,445,276	(65,900)	3,379,376	4,729,270	(34,781)	4,694,489	
INTANGIBLE ASSETS	ii	6,201,442	33,052	6,234,494	5,175,926	-	5,175,926	
GOODWILL		2,537,701	-	2,537,701	2,537,701	-	2,537,701	
		18,680,984	(32,848)	18,648,136	20,232,495	(34,781)	20,197,714	
LIABILITIES CURRENT								
Trade and other payables		871,279	-	871,279	1,476,071	-	1,476,071	
Income tax payable		700,910		700,910	271,630	-	271,630	
Callable debt		5,723,729	-	5,723,729	3,883,479	-	3,883,479	
		7,295,918	-	7,295,918	5,631,180	-	5,631,180	
DECOMMISSIONING AND RESTORATION	ii	231,436	36,345	267,781	446,032	141,632	587,664	
DEFERRED TAX	i, ii, iii	2,357,456	(51,780)	2,305,676	2,341,057	(44,103)	2,296,954	
		9,884,810	(15,435)	9,869,375	8,418,269	97,529	8,515,798	
EQUITY								
SHARE CAPITAL		6,585,761	_	6,585,761	6,655,116	_	6,655,116	
CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS		736,643	_	736,643	795,996	_	795,996	
RETAINED EARNINGS		1,473,770	(17,413)	1,456,357	4,363,114	(132,310)	4,230,804	
		8,796,174	· · ·	8,778,761	11,814,226	(132,310)	11,681,916	
		18,680,984	(32,848)	18,648,136	20,232,495	(34,781)	20,197,714	

Notes to Financial Statements

Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

# Note 27 – Transition to IFRS (continued)

### Reconciliation of statement of net income and comprehensive income

		Year ended November 30, 2011			
		Canadian GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	
	Note	\$	\$	\$	
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT SERVICES		12,179,997	-	12,179,997	
ROYALTIES		3,488,213	-	3,488,213	
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT FEES		8,691,784	-	8,691,784	
Stripping and clearing expenses		336,730	-	336,730	
Other aggregate management operating expenses		1,184,451	-	1,184,451	
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT OPERATING EXPENSES		1,521,181	-	1,521,181	
GROSS PROFIT		7,170,603	-	7,170,603	
OTHER EXPENSES					
Depreciation of property and equipment		141,460	-	141,460	
Amortization of intangible assets	ii	937,571	15,206	952,777	
General and administrative		1,731,697	-	1,731,697	
Finance costs	ii	260,386	(15,289)	245,097	
Share-based compensation		113,711	-	113,711	
		3,184,825	(83)	3,184,742	
INCOME BEFORE OTHER ITEMS		3,985,778	83	3,985,861	
OTHER INCOME (LOSS)					
Interest income		8,742	-	8,742	
Gain on land use agreement		732,180	(27,213)	704,967	
Miscellaneous		(6,496)	-	(6,496)	
Foreign exchange		377	-	377	
Write down of prepaid gravel		(150,000)	-	(150,000)	
Write down of intangible assets		(138,086)	(80,090)	(218,176)	
Write down of resource properties and exploration costs		(451,656)	-	(451,656)	
		(4,939)	(107,303)	(112,242)	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		3,980,839	(107,220)	3,873,619	
INCOME TAXES					
Current tax		1,101,550	-	1,101,550	
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	ii, iii	(16,399)	7,677	(8,722)	
		1,085,151	7,677	1,092,828	
NET INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME		2,895,688	(114,897)	2,780,791	

Notes to Financial Statements

Years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011

# Note 27 – Transition to IFRS (continued)

Reconciliation of equity

_	Share Capital \$	Contributed surplus \$	Retained Earnings \$	Total \$
<b>December 1, 2010 – Canadian GAAP</b> Revaluation of carrying value of exploration costs Revaluation of carrying value of resource property	6,585,761 -	736,643	1,473,770 (65,900)	8,796,174 (65,900)
decommissioning and restoration assets Revaluation of carrying value of decommissioning	-	-	33,052	33,052
and restoration provision Deferred tax impact of changes to carrying	-	-	(36,345)	(36,345)
amounts	-	-	51,780	51,780
December 1, 2010 – IFRS	6,585,761	736,643	1,456,357	8,778,761
<b>November 30, 2011 – Canadian GAAP</b> Revaluation of carrying value of exploration costs Revaluation of carrying value of resource property	6,655,116	795,996	4,363,114 (65,900)	11,814,226 (65,900)
decommissioning and restoration assets Revaluation of carrying value of decommissioning	-	-	31,119	31,119
and restoration provision Deferred tax impact of changes to carrying	-	-	(141,632)	(141,632)
amounts	-	-	44,103	44,103
November 30, 2011 - IFRS	6,655,116	795,996	4,230,804	11,681,916

# Notes to Reconciliation

### i. Resource Properties

IFRS prohibits the capitalization of exploration costs in advance of having the legal right to explore on exploration properties. As a result, the Corporation wrote off \$65,900 of capitalized exploration costs as of December 1, 2010, decreasing resource properties and retained earnings by that amount at December 1, 2010, and at November 30, 2011.

For the year ended November 30, 2011 there was a \$34,781 decrease in resource properties, comprised of an increase in resource properties decommissioning and restoration assets of \$31,119 during the year, less the \$65,900 write off of resource properties as of December 1, 2010.

### ii. Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

Under Canadian GAAP the rate used to discount decommissioning and restoration provisions is the credit adjusted risk free rate which is set at the time the obligation is established. Under IFRS the discount rate reflects the risks specific to the provision and is updated if conditions change that would require a change in the rate. As well, the accretion expense is classified as a finance cost under IFRS.

The impact on the transition to IFRS was an increase in the decommissioning and restoration provision of \$36,345, a \$33,052 increase in related decommissioning and restoration assets (included in intangible assets), and a \$3,293 reduction in retained earnings resulting from \$24,492 reduced accretion expense on the decommissioning and restoration provision and \$27,785 increased amortization expense on decommissioning and restoration assets.

1

# Note 27 - Transition to IFRS (continued)

### ii. Decommissioning and Restoration Provision (continued)

For the year ended November 30, 2011 there was an increase in the decommissioning and restoration provision of \$141,632, and a \$107,220 reduction in income before income taxes. The reduction resulted from \$15,289 reduced accretion expense on the decommissioning and restoration provision, \$15,206 increased amortization expense on decommissioning and restoration assets, and \$107,303 increased impairment charges from write off of decommissioning and restoration assets.

### iii. Deferred taxes

The carrying values of resource properties, the decommissioning and restoration provision and related decommissioning and restoration assets had changed from re-measurement under IFRS, resulting in changed deferred tax calculations.

The impact on the transition to IFRS was a \$51,780 decrease in deferred tax liability and a corresponding increase in retained earnings.

For the year ended November 30, 2011 there was a \$7,677 reduction in net income resulting from a corresponding decrease in deferred tax benefit.