

CONDENSED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Nine Months Ended August 31, 2012 (unaudited)

Notice of No Auditor Review of Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012

The accompanying unaudited condensed interim financial statements of the Corporation have been prepared by and are the responsibility of the Corporation's management and have been approved by the Audit Committee and Board of Directors of the Corporation.

The Corporation's independent auditor has not performed a review of these financial statements in accordance with the standards established by the Canadian Institute of Charted Accountants for a review of condensed interim financial statements by an entity's auditor.

October 29, 2012

"Udomdej Kriangkum" Udomdej Kriangkum Chief Executive Officer "Don Hruba" Don Hruba Chief Financial Officer

Condensed Interim Balance Sheets (unaudited)

	_	August 31 2012	_	November 30, 2011	_	December 1, 2010
				(Note 27)		(Note 27)
ASSETS						
CORRENT	Φ	2 240 050	Φ	4 207 002	Φ	4 200 042
Cash Accounts receivable	\$	2,218,959 4,142,413	\$	1,397,883 3,778,126	\$	1,296,812 3,210,246
Inventory (Note 6)		534,830		-		-
Prepaid expenses		366,553		327,510		502,546
Current portion of land use agreement receivable		440.575		040.057		
(Note 10)		448,575		213,057		-
Short-term investment	_	603,000 8,314,330	_	603,000 6,319,576	_	603,000 5,612,604
		0,314,330		0,319,570		5,012,004
LONG-TERM DEPOSITS (Note 7)		852,225		106,590		25,050
RESTRICTED CASH (Note 8)		151,890		25,522		-
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (Note 9)		3,836,928		734,034		858,911
LAND USE AGREEMENT RECEIVABLE (Note 10)		843,186		603,876		-
RESOURCE PROPERTIES (Note 11)		5,724,550		4,694,489		3,379,376
INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Note 12)		4,525,926		5,175,926		6,234,494
GOODWILL (Note 13)	_	2,537,701	_	2,537,701	_	2,537,701
	\$_	26,786,736	\$_	20,197,714	\$_	18,648,136
LIABILITIES						
CURRENT						
Trade and other payables	\$	1,556,165	\$	1,476,071	\$	871,279
Income tax payable		154,694		271,630		700,910
Callable debt (Note 14)		-		3,883,479		5,723,729
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 15)		1,350,000		-		-
Current portion of lease obligation (Note 16)	_	390,384	_		_	-
		3,451,243		5,631,180		7,295,918
LONG-TERM DEBT (Note 15)		2,444,146		-		-
LEASE OBLIGATION (Note 16)		1,615,900		-		-
DECOMMISSIONING AND RESTORATION		070 054		E07.664		267 701
PROVISION (Note 17) DEFERRED GAIN ON SALE AND LEASEBACK (Note		872,854		587,664		267,781
18)		35,725		-		-
DEFERRED TAX (Note 19)		2,787,947		2,296,954		2,305,676
	_	11,207,815	_	8,515,798	_	9,869,375
	_	,,,	_	3,010,100	_	3,000,010
EQUITY						
SHARE CAPITAL (Note 20)		6,920,066		6,655,116		6,585,761
CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS RETAINED EARNINGS		878,243 7,780,612		795,996 4,230,804		736,643 1,456,357
	-	15,578,921	_	11,681,916	-	8,778,761
	\$	26,786,736	\$	20,197,714	\$	18,648,136
	=		=		=	

Approved by the Board of Directors

<u>"Douglas Stuve",</u> Director <u>"Theodore Rousseau",</u> Director

Douglas M. Stuve Theodore Rousseau

The accompanying notes are part of these financial statements.

Condensed Interim Statements of Net Income and Comprehensive Income For the nine months ended August, 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

		Three Months Ended August 31,			Nine Months Ended August 31,			
		2012	igust	31, 2011		2012	igust 3	2011
				(Note 27)	•			(Note 27)
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT SERVICES	\$	5,097,769	\$	3,746,966	\$	11,832,267	\$	7,466,869
ROYALTIES		1,515,425	· _	1,133,587		3,461,636		2,165,790
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT FEES	-	3,582,344	_	2,613,379		8,370,631	_	5,301,079
AGGREGATE SALES		-		-		2,050,815		-
ROYALTIES	-	-	_	-		-	-	-
NET AGGREGATE SALES	-		-	-	-	2,050,815	-	-
REVENUE	-	3,582,344	_	2,613,379		10,421,446	_	5,301,079
Stripping and clearing expenses		215,633		-		1,836,684		-
Depletion of resource properties		-		-		22,299		-
Other aggregate operating expenses	_	467,336	_	292,436		1,518,215	_	863,751
AGGREGATE OPERATING EXPENSES	-	682,969	_	292,436		3,377,198	_	863,751
GROSS PROFIT	-	2,899,375	_	2,320,943		7,044,248	_	4,437,328
OTHER EXPENSES								
Depreciation of property and equipment		105,065		35,928		217,166		107,292
Amortization of intangible assets		219,079		238,166		696,778		714,263
General and administrative		418,080		352,893		1,362,563		989,362
Finance costs (Note 21)		74,401		58,225		162,065		190,430
Share-based compensation	_	97,875	_	14,106		194,331	_	103,893
	-	914,500		699,318		2,632,903	_	2,105,240
INCOME BEFORE OTHER ITEMS	_	1,984,875	_	1,621,625		4,411,345	_	2,332,088
OTHER INCOME (LOSS)								
Interest income		13,367		2,178		25,469		6,394
Gain on land use agreement (Note 10)		812,311		-		812,311		58,450
Miscellaneous income (expense)		19,292		6,095		25,131		(13,093)
Write-down of prepaid gravel		-		(150,000)		-		(150,000)
Amortization of deferred gain on sale and leaseback		1,880		-		1,880		-
Gain (loss) on write off of property and equipment		2,950		-		(21,877)		-
Write down of intangible assets (Note 12)		(20,006)		-		(20,006)		-
Write down of resource properties and exploration costs	_	-	_	(2,500)		<u>-</u> _	_	(3,750)
	-	829,794	_	(144,227)	-	822,908	=	(101,999)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	-	2,814,669	_	1,477,398		5,234,253	_	2,230,089
INCOME TAXES								
Current tax (Note 19)		435,740		394,073		1,193,452		542,016
Deferred tax expense (Note 19)	_	334,781	_	(24,740)		490,993	_	217,183
	-	770,521	_	369,333	-	1,684,445	-	759,199
NET INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	2,044,148	\$_	1,108,065	\$	3,549,808	\$_	1,470,890
BASIC INCOME PER COMMON SHARE (Note 20 e)	\$	0.074	\$_	0.041	\$	0.130	\$_	0.054
DILUTED INCOME PER COMMON SHARE (Note 20 e)	\$	0.072	\$_	0.039	\$	0.128	\$_	0.054
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING (Note 20 e)		27,665,089		27,032,716		27,392,810		27,017,892

Condensed Interim Statements of Changes in Equity For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

	Nine months ended August 31, 2012						
	Number of Shares	Share Capital	Contributed Surplus	Retained Earnings	Total Equity		
November 30, 2011	27,199,166	\$6,655,116	\$795,996	\$4,230,804	\$11,681,916		
Share-based compensation	-	-	194,331	-	194,331		
Options exercised	583,333	152,866	-	-	152,866		
Transfer of value on options exercised	-	112,084	(112,084)	-	-		
Net income for the period		-	. <u>-</u>	3,549,808	3,549,808		
August 31, 2012	27,782,499	\$6,920,066	\$878,243	\$7,780,612	\$15,578,921		

	Nine months ended August 31, 2011						
	Number of Shares	Share Capital	Contributed Surplus	Retained Earnings (Note 27)	Total Equity		
December 1, 2010	27,149,165	\$6,585,761	\$736,643	\$1,456,357	\$8,778,761		
Premium on repurchased shares	(215,000)	(52,154)	-	(6,344)	(58,498)		
Share-based compensation	-	-	103,893	-	103,893		
Options exercised	133,334	34,167	-		34,167		
Transfer of value on options exercised	-	26,838	(26,838)	-	_		
Net income for the period			_	1,470,890	1,470,890		
August 31, 2011	27,067,499	\$6,594,612	\$813,698	\$2,920,903	\$10,329,213		

Condensed Interim Statements Of Cash Flows For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

	For the Nine Months End August 31,			
		2012		2011
	_			(Note 27)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES Net income Adjustments for non-cash items:	\$	3,549,808	\$	1,470,890
Depreciation, amortization, depletion and accretion		947,242		825,003
Deferred tax expense		490,993		217,183
Share-based compensation		194,331		103,893
Gain on land use agreement		(812,311)		(58,450)
Amortization of deferred gain on sale and leaseback		(1,880)		-
Loss on write off of property and equipment		21,877		-
Write down of intangible assets		20,006		
Write down of resource properties and exploration costs	_	-		3,750
Net income adjusted for non-cash items Net changes in non-cash working capital balances		4,410,066		2,562,269
Trade and other payables		80,094		(73,311)
Accounts receivable		(364,287)		122,357
Inventory		(534,830)		-
Income tax payable		(116,936)		(609,284)
Prepaid expenses and deposits	_	(39,043)		239,354
	_	3,435,064		2,241,385
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Restricted cash		(126,368)		(21,346)
Proceeds from land use agreement		`351,197		115,384
Long-term deposits		(745,635)		(81,540)
Purchase of property and equipment		(3,146,419)		(15,957)
Proceeds from sale and leaseback of property and				
equipment		1,946,454		-
Insurance proceeds from loss of property and equipment		4,250		(200, 22.4)
Development costs related to land use agreement Resource properties		(856,605)		(200,234) (1,445,721)
resource properties	_	(2,573,126)		(1,649,414)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	_	(2,575,120)		(1,043,414)
Repurchase of share capital		-		(58,498)
Long-term debt proceeds		4,000,000		-
Long-term debt transaction costs		(41,250)		-
Repayment of long-term debt		(166,667)		-
Repayment of lease obligation		(102,332)		-
Issue of share capital		152,866		34,167
Repayment of callable debt	_	(3,883,479)		(1,380,188)
NET INCREASE (DEODEACE) IN CASH	_	(40,862)		(1,404,519)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH		821,076		(812,548)
CASH, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	_	1,397,883		1,296,812
CASH, END OF PERIOD	\$_	2,218,959	\$	484,264
Supplemental cash flow information (Note 26)				

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 1 - Nature of Business

Athabasca Minerals Inc. (the "Corporation") is incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act (Alberta)*. The Corporation's head office is located at 9524 27 Avenue, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6N 1B2. The Corporation manages two aggregate (sand and gravel) pits on behalf of the Province of Alberta for which management fees are earned. A significant portion of the Corporation's total revenue is derived from one of these contracts. In addition to these management contracts, the Corporation owns gravel pits producing aggregate for a variety of purposes and explores for and develops land for the purposes of establishing additional Corporation owned gravel pits. The Corporation also acquires, explores and develops mineral claims located in the Fort McMurray and Canadian Shield areas for the purpose of extracting salt, silica sand and other minerals. The Corporation is listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX Venture").

Note 2 - Seasonality of Operations

The Corporation derives a significant portion of its revenues from producing various types of aggregate in Northern Alberta. The ability to remove gravel from its gravel pits is hampered by cold and wet weather conditions. As a result, winter and spring are traditionally the slowest time for the Corporation.

Note 3 - Basis of Presentation

Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards

The Corporation was required to adopt International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") for its interim and annual financial statements for the fiscal year commencing December 1, 2011. The Corporation's transition date to IFRS was December 1, 2010 (the "Transition Date") and the comparative balance sheet as at November 30, 2011, the opening balance sheet as at December 1, 2010 and comparative statements of net income and comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for the nine months ended August 31, 2011, have been restated to IFRS.

The preparation of these condensed interim financial statements resulted in changes to the accounting policies as compared to the most recent annual financial statements prepared under Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP"). The accounting policies set out below have been applied to all periods presented in these financial statements, and are based on IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") that the Corporation expects to be applicable for its annual financial statements for the year ending November 30, 2012.

The interim results are not indicative of results for a full year.

Statement of Compliance

These condensed interim financial statements of the Corporation have been prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard 34 *Interim Financial Reporting* ("IAS 34") as issued by the IASB and using the accounting policies disclosed below.

The financial statements include an opening balance sheet as at December 1, 2010, the date at which the impact of IFRS transitions were recorded against equity in accordance with the provisions of IFRS 1 "First time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards" and the 2011 comparative statements were prepared using the same basis of accounting. A detailed reconciliation of the financial statements prepared under Canadian GAAP and the comparative 2011 IFRS financial information is presented in Note 27.

Certain information and disclosures normally required to be included in notes to the annual financial statements have been condensed or omitted. Accordingly these interim financial statements should also be

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 3 – Basis of Presentation (continued)

Statement of Compliance (continued)

read in conjunction with the Corporation's audited financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2011 presented under Canadian GAAP.

These financial statements were authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on October 29, 2012.

Basis for Presentation

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented in the financial statements and in preparing an opening IFRS balance sheet at December 1, 2010 for the purpose of transition to IFRS unless otherwise indicated.

Note 4 - Significant accounting judgments and estimates

The preparation of the Corporation's financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions are continually evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The areas which require management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions in determining carrying values include, but are not limited to:

Revenue

Under its aggregate management contracts with the government, the Corporation invoices its customers for any royalties applicable on the sale of aggregates, and is responsible to collect and remit all invoiced royalties. An entity acts as a principal (not as an agent) when it has exposure to the significant risks and rewards associated with the sale of goods or the rendering of services. In a principal relationship, billed amounts are reported on a gross basis. In an agency relationship, billed amounts are reported on a net basis as the amounts collected on behalf of the principal are not considered revenue. Determining whether an entity is acting as a principal or agent requires judgment and consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances. Features that indicate that an entity is acting as a principal include:

- The entity has the primary responsibility for providing the goods or services to the customer or for fulfilling the order;
- The entity bears the customer's credit risk for the amount receivable from the customer;
- The entity has latitude in establishing prices, either directly or indirectly, for example by providing additional goods or services; and
- The entity has inventory risk before or after the customer order, during shipping or on return.

It is the judgment of management that in the case of providing aggregate management services, the first two considerations above apply to the Corporation's situation, whereas the remaining two considerations apply less to the Corporation's situation It is therefore management's determination that the Corporation serves a role as principal rather than agent in the aggregate management services it performs.

Valuation of Resource Properties

Mineral properties are reviewed and evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Common indicators of impairment of a mineral property include, but are not limited to: (i) the right to explore in a specific area

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 4 – Significant accounting judgments and estimates (continued)

Valuation of Resource Properties (continued)

has expired, or will soon expire, and is not expected to be renewed; (ii) substantive expenditure on further exploration in a specific area is neither budgeted or planned; (iii) exploration in an area has not led to the discovery of commercially viable quantities of mineral resources, or the results are not compelling enough to warrant further exploration, and the Corporation has decided to discontinue activities in the area; or (iv) sufficient data exist to indicate that, although exploration or development in an area is likely to proceed, the carrying amount of the mineral property is unlikely to be recovered in full from successful development or by sale. As at August 31, 2012 the Corporation determined that there were no indicators of impairment in the carrying values of its mineral properties.

Useful Economic Life of Property and Equipment

The cost less the residual value of each item of property, plant and equipment is depreciated over its useful economic life. Depreciation is charged to exploration expense over the estimated life of the individual asset. Depreciation commences when assets are available for use. The assets' useful lives and methods of depreciation are reviewed and adjusted if appropriate at each fiscal year end.

Certain property, plant, equipment and other tangible assets used directly in resource production activities are depreciated using the units-of-production ("UOP") method over a period not to exceed the estimated life of the ore body based on recoverable minerals to be mined from proven and probable mineral reserves.

The calculation of the UOP rate, and therefore the annual depreciation expense, could be materially affected by changes in the underlying estimates. Changes in estimates may result from difference between actual future production and current forecast of future production, expansion of mineral reserves through exploration activities, differences between estimated and actual costs of production and differences in mineral prices used in the estimation of mineral reserves.

Significant judgment is involved in the determination of useful life and residual values for the computation of depreciation and no assurance can be given that the actual useful lives or residual values will not differ significantly from current assumptions.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Assets

Any goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if there is an indication of impairment. The carrying value of property and equipment and intangible assets is reviewed each reporting period to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount, the asset is impaired and an impairment loss is recognized in profit or loss. The assessment of fair values, including those of the cash-generating units for purposes of testing goodwill, require the use of estimates and assumptions for recoverable production, long-term commodity prices, discount rates, future capital requirements and operating performance. Changes in any of the assumptions or estimates used in determining the fair value of goodwill or other assets could impact the impairment analysis.

Mineral Reserves

Proven and probable minerals reserves are the economically mineable parts of the Corporation's measured and indicated mineral resources demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. The Corporation estimates its proven and probable mineral reserves and measured and indicated and inferred mineral resources based on information compiled by appropriately qualified persons. Geological estimates of the size, depth and shape of the ore body requires complex judgements. The estimation of future cash flows related to proven and probable mineral reserves is based upon factors such as estimates of commodity prices, future capital requirements, mineral recovery factors and production costs along with

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 4 – Significant accounting judgments and estimates (continued)

Mineral Reserves (continued)

geological assumptions and judgements made in estimating the size and grade of the ore body. Changes in the proven and probable mineral reserves or measured and indicated and inferred mineral resources estimates may impact the carrying value of mineral properties, property and equipment, decommissioning and restoration provisions, recognition of deferred tax amounts, amortization and depreciation.

Calculation of Share-based Payments

The amount expensed for share-based payments is based on the application of the Black-Scholes option pricing formula, which is highly dependent on the expected volatility of the Corporation's share price and the expected life of the options. The Corporation used an expected volatility rate for its shares based on historical stock trading data adjusted for future expectations; actual volatility may be significantly different.

While the estimate of share-based compensation can have a material impact on the operating results reported by the Corporation, it is a non-cash charge and as such has no impact on the Corporation's cash position or future cash flows.

Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

The Corporation assesses its provision for decommissioning and restoration on an annual basis or when new information or circumstances merit a re-assessment. Mining and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and the Corporation has made, and intends to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations. Accounting for decommissioning and restoration obligations required management to make estimates of the future costs the Corporation will incur to complete the decommissioning and restoration work required to comply with existing laws and regulations.

Actual costs incurred may differ from estimated costs. Also, future changes to environmental laws and regulations could increase the extent of decommissioning and restoration work to be performed by the Corporation. Increases in future costs could materially increase amounts expensed and amounts charged to profit or loss for decommissioning and restoration.

The provision, at each reporting date, for decommissioning and restoration provisions represents management's best estimate of the present value of the future decommissioning and restoration obligations. Actual expenditures may differ from the recorded amount.

Inventories

Aggregate work-in-process and finished goods are valued at the lower of average production cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated receipt from sale of the inventory in the normal course of business, less any anticipated costs to be incurred prior to its sale. The production cost of inventories is determined on a weighted average basis and includes direct labour, subcontractor production costs, overhead and depreciation, depletion and amortization of resource properties.

Commencement of Commercial Production

The Corporation assesses the stage of each resource property under development to determine when a property reaches the stage when it is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. Criteria used to assess when a property has commenced commercial production includes, among other considerations:

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 4 – Significant accounting judgments and estimates (continued)

Commencement of Commercial Production (continued)

- Capital expenditures incurred relative to the expected costs to complete;
- The completion of a reasonable period of testing of mine plant and equipment;
- The ability to produce saleable aggregates;
- · Achievement of production targets;
- Sufficiency of hauling access from the pit,
- The ability to sustain ongoing production.

When management determines that a property has commenced commercial production, costs deferred during development are reclassified as production costs and amortized.

Income Taxes

Income taxes in interim reporting periods are measured by applying estimated annual effective income tax rates that are expected to be in effect when the temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to reverse or when losses are expected to be utilized. The estimated average annual effective income tax rates are re-estimated at each interim reporting date. Estimation of income taxes includes evaluating the recoverability of deferred tax assets based on an assessment of the Corporation's ability to utilize the underlying future tax deductions against future taxable income before they expire. The Corporation's assessment is based upon existing tax laws, estimates of future taxable income, and the expected timing of taxable temporary difference reversals. If the assessment of the Corporation's ability to utilize the underlying future tax deductions changes, the Corporation would be required to recognize more or fewer of the tax deductions as assets, which may decrease or increase the income tax expense in the period in which this is determined.

Collectability of Accounts Receivable

In considering the collectability of accounts receivable, taken into account is the legal obligation for payment by the customer, as well as the financial capacity of the customer to fund its obligation to the Corporation.

Land Use Agreement Receivable

The average daily work camp occupancy rate used in the determination of the total future proceeds of the land use agreement receivable is an estimate and therefore actual future proceeds under the land use agreement could vary significantly. The work camp was constructed primarily to serve the accommodation needs of the oil sands industry workers. As a result, the actual occupancy rate is likely to be largely dependent on oil sands development activity in the Fort McMurray region of Alberta.

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as cash on deposit with financial institutions and highly liquid short-term investments that have maturity of three months or less.

Revenue Recognition

The Corporation derives the majority of its revenues through the management of aggregate pits where a management fee is earned based on the volume extracted from the pits. The Corporation recognizes revenue at the point that the aggregate material leaves the pit.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Revenue Recognition (continued)

Revenue from the sale of construction aggregates, net of any discounts, is recognized on the sale of products at the time the Corporation has transferred to the buyer the significant risks and rewards of ownership; the Corporation retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold; the amount of revenue can be measured reliably; it is probable that the economic benefits associated with the transaction will flow to the entity; and the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

Interest income is recognized as it is earned on an accrual basis.

Stripping and Clearing Costs

Stripping and clearing costs incurred during the development of a pit or mine are capitalized in resource properties. Stripping and clearing costs incurred subsequent to commencement of production are variable production costs that are included in the cost of inventory produced during the period in which they are incurred, unless the stripping and clearing activities can be shown to give rise to future benefits from the mineral property, in which case the stripping and clearing costs would be capitalized. Future benefits arise when stripping and clearing activities increases the future output of the pit or mine by providing access to an extension of an ore body or to a new ore body. Capitalized stripping costs are depleted based on the unit-of-production method using proven and probable mineral reserves as the depletion base.

Inventory

Work-in-process and finished goods inventory are valued at the lower of average production cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is calculated as the estimated selling price at the measurement date less future costs required to sell inventories.

Production costs are included in work-in-process inventory, including applicable amortization and depletion of estimated resource properties. The cost of finished goods includes, when applicable, the associated costs of crushing and hauling.

Any write down of inventory is recognized as a charge against income in the period the write down occurs.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include management contracts relating to the management of aggregate pits, which are carried at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected life of the contract, or the remaining life of the mine if shorter. The Corporation has not identified intangible assets for which the expected useful life is indefinite.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses. The Corporation provides for depreciation on its property and equipment using the following methods and rates:

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Property and Equipment (continued)

	Method	Rate
Onsite buildings and fences	Straight line	10 years
Office complex	Straight line	15 years
Scale and scale houses	Straight line	10 years
Exploration and development tangible assets	Unit-of-production	
Equipment		
Mobile home	Straight line	10 years
Computer software	Straight line	1-3 years
Office equipment	Straight line	3 years
Computer hardware	Declining balance	30%
Large equipment	Declining balance	20%
Vehicles	Declining balance	30%

Costs for property and equipment include all costs required to bring the asset into its intended use by the Corporation. Significant parts of an item of property and equipment with different useful lives are recognized and depreciated separately. Depreciation commences when the asset is available for use. The assets residual values, useful lives and method of depreciation are reviewed each financial year and adjustments are accounted for prospectively if appropriate. Repairs and maintenance expenditures are charged to operations as incurred. Major improvements and replacements, which extend the useful life of an asset, are capitalized. An item of property and equipment is derecognized on disposal or when no future economic benefits are expected from its use. Any gain or loss arising on derecognizing of an asset is included in profit or loss in the period the asset is derecognized.

Resource Properties and Related Expenditures

Direct mineral exploration, evaluation and development costs are capitalized until such time as a resource is defined or the project is abandoned. Any related decommissioning and restoration provisions are capitalized on an individual project basis. Costs for properties that are abandoned are written off. The capitalized costs will be amortized on the basis of units produced in relation to the proven and probable reserves available on the related property following commencement of production. Exploration and evaluation expenditures incurred before the Corporation has obtained the legal right to explore an area are expensed as incurred.

The capitalized costs do not necessarily reflect the current or future values since the recoverability of the amounts capitalized for undeveloped mineral properties is dependent upon the determination of an economically recoverable resource, confirmation of the Corporation's interest in the underlying mineral properties, the ability to obtain the necessary financing to complete their development and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

Title to mineral properties involves inherent risks due to the difficulties of determining the validity of certain claims as well as the potential for problems arising from the frequently unreliable conveyance history characteristic of many mineral properties. The Corporation has investigated title to all of its mineral properties and, to the best of its knowledge all of its properties are in good standing.

The Corporation may conclude that it will receive future economic benefits from an exploration property, which is generally when a bankable feasibility study has been completed and economically recoverable mineral resources for the project are determined. At this stage, the property is considered to be under development. Previously capitalized exploration costs related to the property are at that time transferred to development costs. Subsequent development costs are capitalized, including any costs incurred to increase or extend the life of existing production. On the commencement of commercial production, net capitalized costs will be charged to operations on a unit-of-production basis, by property, using estimated

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Resource Properties and Related Expenditures (continued)

proven and probable reserves as the depletion base.

Mineral properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. When it is determined that a project or property will be abandoned the costs are written-off, or if its carrying value has been impaired, the costs are written down to the recoverable amount, which is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. Where future cash flows are not reasonably determinable, mineral property interests are evaluated for impairment based on results of exploration work, management's intent and ability to retain title to the property, and determination of the extent to which future exploration programs are warranted and likely to be funded.

Income Per Common Share

Income per common share is calculated by dividing the net income for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the financial reporting period. Diluted income per share is calculated by adjusting the weighted average number of shares for the dilutive effect of options and warrants. The computation of diluted income per share assumes the conversion, exercise or contingent issuance of securities only when such conversion would have a dilutive effect on income. It is assumed that outstanding options, warrants and similar items are exercised or converted into shares and that the proceeds that would be realized upon such exercise or conversion are used to purchase common shares at the average market price per share during the relevant financial reporting period.

Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

The Corporation recognizes a liability for restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations associated with long-lived assets, including the abandonment of mineral properties and returning properties to the condition required in order to satisfy regulatory obligations.

The Corporation records the present value of the estimated legal and constructive obligations required to restore the exploration sites in the period incurred, along with a corresponding increase in the carrying value of the related asset. The present value of the estimated future cash outflows to settle the obligation is determined using a risk-free pre-tax discount rate that reflects the time value of money. The liability is subsequently adjusted for the passage of time, and is recognized as a finance cost in profit or loss. The liability is also adjusted due to revisions in either the timing or amount of the original estimated cash flows associated with the liability, or for changes to the current market-based discount rate. Changes resulting from revisions to the timing or amount of the original estimate of undiscounted retirement obligation cash flows are recognized as an increase or decrease in the carrying amount of the decommissioning and restoration provision with a corresponding increase or decrease in the carrying value of the related asset.

Impairment of Non-financial Assets

For the purposes of assessing impairment, the recoverable amount of an asset, which is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use, is estimated. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the asset is included in the cash-generating unit to which it belongs and the recoverable amount of the cash generating unit is estimated. As a result, some assets are tested individually for impairment and some are tested at the cash-generating unit level. A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets that generates cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or group of assets.

Cash-generating units to which goodwill has been allocated are tested for impairment at least annually. Intangible assets with an indefinite useful life and an intangible asset not yet available for use are also tested for impairment at least annually. All other individual assets or cash-generating units are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset is impaired such as

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

<u>Impairment of Non-financial Assets</u> (continued)

decreases in mineral prices, an increase in operating costs, or a decrease in mineable reserves. The Corporation also considers net book value of the asset, the ongoing costs required to maintain and operate the asset, and the use, value and condition of the asset.

An impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the asset's or cash-generating unit's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. To determine the value in use, management estimates expected future cash flows from each asset or cash-generating unit and determines a suitable interest rate in order to calculate the present value of those cash flows. Future cash flows used in the determination of value in use are estimated based on expected future production, recoverability of reserves, commodity prices, operating costs, decommissioning and restoration costs, as well as capital costs. Management estimates of future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties. It is reasonably possible that changes in estimates could occur which may affect the recoverable amounts of assets, including the Corporation's investments in mineral properties.

Fair value is determined with reference to discounted estimated future cash flow analysis or on recent transactions involving dispositions of similar properties.

An impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is first allocated to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to that cash-generating unit. Any remaining impairment loss is allocated on a pro rata basis to the other assets in the cash-generating unit. With the exception of goodwill, all assets are subsequently reassessed for indications that an impairment loss previously recognized may no longer exist or may have decreased. An impairment charge is reversed if the cash-generating unit's recoverable amount exceeds its carrying amount. Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (cash-generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, however only to the extent that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years.

Share-based Payments

The Corporation grants stock options to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Corporation pursuant to a stock option plan. The fair value of options granted is recognized as an expense with a corresponding increase in contributed surplus.

Share-based payments to employees and others providing similar services are measured on the grant date at the fair value of the instruments issued. Fair value is measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options were granted. The amount recognized as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of options that are expected to vest. Each tranche in an award with graded vesting is considered a separate grant with a different vesting date and fair value.

Share-based payments to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received, unless that fair value cannot be estimated reliably, in which case the fair value of the equity instruments issued is used. The value of the goods or services is recorded at the earlier of the vesting date, or the date the goods or services are received.

Any consideration received upon exercise of options is credited to share capital and the associated amounts originally recorded in contributed surplus are transferred to share capital.

In the event options are forfeited prior to vesting, the amounted recognized in prior periods in relation to the option is reversed.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Provisions

Liabilities are recognized when the Corporation has a present legal or constructive obligation arising as a result of a past event and it is probable that a future outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made.

A provision is a liability of uncertain timing or amount. Provisions are measured at the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation using the pre-tax rate that reflects the current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. The increase in the provision due to the passage of time is recognized as a finance cost.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity and other comprehensive income, in which case the tax expense is also recognized directly in equity and other comprehensive income, respectively.

Current tax is the expected tax payable or receivable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates and laws enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are provided for using the liability method on temporary differences between the tax bases and carrying amounts of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the year in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Changes to these balances, including changes due to changes to income tax rates, are recognized in profit or loss in the period in which they occur.

Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent future recovery is probable. Deferred tax assets are reduced to the extent it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profits will be available to allow all or part of the asset to be recovered.

Derivative instruments

Derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and instruments designated for hedging activities are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measured at fair value. The Corporation does not use derivative instruments to hedge exposures to cash flow, market or foreign currency risks. Any change in the fair value of a derivative or an embedded derivative not designated as a hedging instrument is recognized as a gain or loss in profit or loss.

Financial instruments

The Corporation has classified its financial assets and liabilities as follows:

Financial statement item	Classification	Measurement
Cash	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Short-term restricted cash	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Accounts receivable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Land use agreement receivable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Short-term investment	Held to maturity	Amortized cost
Long-term deposits	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Restricted cash	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Financial instruments (continued)

Financial statement item	Classification	Measurement
Trade and other payables	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost
Callable debt	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost
Long-term debt	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost
Lease obligation	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost

i. Non-derivative financial assets

The Corporation classifies non-derivative financial assets as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables, held to maturity investments, or available for sale financial assets as appropriate.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss

A financial asset is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is classified as held for trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Financial assets in this category are measured at fair value, with any changes therein recognized in profit and loss when incurred, along with any attributable transaction costs.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are initially recognized at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Held to maturity

A financial asset that has fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity, and which the Corporation has the positive intention and ability to hold until maturity. Such assets are initially recognized at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition held to maturity investments are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Available for sale

Financial assets classified as available for sale are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently measured at fair value with any changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income.

ii. Non-derivative financial liabilities

The Corporation's non-derivative financial liabilities are classified as financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss or other financial liabilities, based on the purpose for which the liability was incurred.

Other financial liabilities

These financial liabilities are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transactions costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss

Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss are initially and subsequently measured at fair value with changes in fair values recognized in profit or loss.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 5 – Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Financial instruments (continued)

iii. Impairment of financial assets

At each reporting date, the Corporation assesses whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or a group of financial assets is impaired. A financial asset or group of financial assets is deemed to be impaired if, and only if, there is objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that has occurred after the initial recognition of the asset and that event has an impact on the estimated future cash flows of the financial asset or group of financial assets.

New standards not yet adopted

i. Scope of the reporting entity

IFRS 10, "Consolidated Financial Statements" and IFRS 12, "Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities", were issued and replace IAS 27, "Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements" and Standing Interpretations Committee ("SIC") 12, "Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities" for guidance on the consolidation model which identifies the elements of control and provides a comprehensive standard on disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated structured entities. These standards are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of the new standards.

ii. Joint arrangements

IFRS 11, "Joint Arrangements" was issued and supersedes IAS 31, "Interests in Joint Ventures" and SIC 13, "Jointly Controlled Entities-Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers", to establish principles for financial reporting by parties to a joint arrangement. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard.

iii. Fair value measurement

IFRS 13, "Fair Value Measurement" was issued to set out in a single IFRS a framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this standard.

iv. Employee benefits

IAS 19, "Employee Benefits", was amended to eliminate the options to defer, or recognize in full in profit or loss, actuarial gains and losses, to streamline the presentation of changes in assets and liabilities arising from defined benefit plans and to enhance the disclosure requirements for defined benefit plans. This amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of these amendments.

v. Financial instruments classification and measurement

IFRS 9, "Financial Instruments" was issued and will replace IAS 39, "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement." The new standard has two measurement categories: amortized cost and fair value. All equity instruments are measured at fair value, and a debt instrument is measured at amortized cost only if the entity is holding it to collect contractual cash flows that represent principal and interest. The new standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 6 – Inventory

Inventory consists of the following:

	_	August 31, 2012	_	November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010
Stockpiled crushed inventory	\$_	534,830	\$	- \$	<u>-</u>

Note 7 - Long-Term Deposits

	_	August 31, 2012	 November 30, 2011	 December 1, 2010
Security deposits on gravel leases	\$	106,590	\$ 106,590	\$ 25,050
Deposit on lease obligation		38,817	-	-
Deposit on property and equipment	_	706,818	 -	 _
	\$	852,225	\$ 106,590	\$ 25,050

The Corporation placed a cash deposit toward the purchase of a crushing spread. See Subsequent Events (Note 28).

Note 8 - Restricted Cash

August 31, 2012	 November 30, 2011	 December 1, 2010
\$ 151,890	\$ 25,522	\$ -

Under its long-term land use agreement with a camp provider the Corporation has received and has placed funds on deposit totalling \$101,890 to be first applied toward any costs for reclamation of the Poplar Creek site.

The Corporation has placed funds on deposit totalling \$50,000 to be applied toward reclamation of the House River pit.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 9 – Property and Equipment

		Onsite	Off:	Caalaaaaad	
	Equipment	buildings and fences	Office Complex	Scales and scale houses	Total
Cost at:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cost at:	·				
December 1, 2010	703,762	95,760	165,016	273,051	1,237,589
additions	11,547	-	5,035	-	16,582
write-offs	715 200	05.700	170.051	272.051	1 254 171
November 30, 2011	715,309	95,760	170,051	273,051	1,254,171
additions	4,737,530	369,674	3,816	144,016	5,255,036 (1,960,798)
disposals write-offs	(1,960,798)	-	-	-	• • •
·	(48,013)	465.424	472.067	-	(48,013)
August 31, 2012	3,444,028	465,434	173,867	417,067	4,500,396
Accumulated					
Depreciation at:					
December 1, 2010	303,712	17,799	1,375	55,792	378,678
additions	93,451	9,576	11,127	27,305	141,459
write-offs	, -	, -	-	-	, -
November 30, 2011	397,163	27,375	12,502	83,097	520,137
additions	171,537	11,803	8,598	25,228	217,166
disposals	(50,649)	-			(50,649)
write-offs	(23,186)	-	-	-	(23,186)
August 31, 2012	494,865	39,178	21,100	108,325	663,468
Carrying value at:					
December 1, 2010	400,050	77,961	163,641	217,259	858,911
November 30, 2011	318,146	68,385	157,549	189,954	734,034
August 31, 2012	2,949,163	426,256	152,767	308,742	3,836,928
Carrying value of					
leased assets					
included above:					
August 31, 2012	2,168,053	78,827	-	12,390	2,259,270
Danuaciatian ayyaanaa fa	u tha fallawina na	ui a al a			Total
Depreciation expense fo	i the following pe	erious:			Total
Deviced andire Assess 24	2012				\$ 217.166
Period ending August 31					217,166
Period ending August 31	, 2011				107,292

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 10 - Land Use Agreement Receivable

	_	August 31, 2012	 November 30, 2011	 December 1, 2010
Land use agreement receivable Less current portion of land use agreement receivable	\$_	1,291,761 448,575	\$ 816,933 213,057	\$ - -
Long-term land use agreement receivable	\$_	843,186	\$ 603,876	\$ -

The Corporation has recognized a land use agreement receivable in connection with a long-term land use agreement with a work camp provider, whereby the Corporation transferred a 42 acre parcel of developed land out of the depleted portion of the Corporation's miscellaneous lease at Poplar Creek to the work camp provider. During fiscal 2011, the work camp provider constructed a lodge on the lease that can accommodate approximately 500 workers, primarily employed in the oil sands industry. Pursuant to the land use agreement, the work camp provider pays monthly fees and daily accommodation fees to the Corporation. The work camp provider will also contribute toward the estimated cost of decommissioning and restoration, in aggregate not to exceed the non-refundable amount of \$300,000, which the Corporation will maintain in a restricted cash account to be first applied toward any costs for decommissioning and restoration of the Poplar Creek site. The land use agreement commenced on March 1, 2011 and expires on October 19, 2015. The agreement will automatically renew for an equivalent term period, under same terms and conditions, subject to amendments agreed to in writing by both parties, unless otherwise terminated earlier by written mutual agreement by both parties.

In determining the land use agreement receivable carrying value and the gain on land use agreement, an estimate of total future receipts under the land use agreement is required. The total estimated proceeds receivable by the Corporation under the agreement include both a fixed monthly component and estimated proceeds for daily work camp accommodation.

During June 2012, the work camp provider began operating a second 500 worker lodge that it had constructed on the 42 acre parcel of developed land the Corporation had transferred during fiscal 2011. The second lodge is also governed pursuant to the land agreement entered in 2011 between the Corporation and the work camp provider. The Corporation will not receive an increased fixed monthly fee; however, the Corporation will receive proceeds for actual daily accommodation at the second lodge at the same daily rate received at the first lodge. The work camp provider's contribution toward the estimated cost of decommissioning and restoration is unaffected by the addition of the second lodge, remaining not to exceed \$300,000 in aggregate.

At August 31, 2012 management valued the portion of the land use agreement receivable attributable to the second lodge at \$505,045. The valuation was determined with reference to the monthly average accommodation rate experienced since inception at the first lodge, covering the period April 2011 through August 31, 2012. Management assumed the actual monthly occupancy rate experience at the first lodge to also be applicable to the second lodge, and the future accommodation rate was projected to remain constant through October 19, 2015 at the actual historic level. Total future cash flow in the amount of \$556,694 from estimated receipts for daily occupancy at the second lodge was then discounted at a rate of 3.23%. The actual accommodation receipts from the second lodge in the amount of \$130,312 during its first three months ended August 31, 2012 exceeded occupancy expectation based on historic average occupancy of the first lodge, with a further \$77,829 taken into income at August 31, 2012.

At August 31, 2012 management revalued the portion of the land use agreement receivable attributable to the first lodge at \$786,716. The revaluation was determined with reference to the monthly average accommodation rate experienced since inception at the first lodge, covering the period April 2011 through August 31, 2012. The future accommodation rate was projected to remain constant through October 19, 2015 at the actual historic level. Total future cash flow from estimated future receipts was then discounted

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 10 – Land Use Agreement Receivable (continued)

at a rate of 3.23%, resulting in an increase of \$184,101 in carrying value of the land use agreement receivable, and was taken into income at August 31, 2012.

Components of Gain on Land Use Agreement- Nine months ended August 31, 2012:

Lodge 2: Valuation of estimated future discounted receipts	\$556,694
Actual receipts over estimated receipts at lodge 2 through August 31, 2012	77,829
Lodge 1: Revaluation of estimated future discounted receipts	184,101
Increased future reclamation costs attributable to the land use agreement (Note 12)	(6,313)
Gain on Land Use Agreement	<u>\$ 812,311</u>

The land use agreement receivable combined current and long-term carrying value of \$1,291,761 at August 31, 2012 is the estimated future discounted receipts from both lodges subsequent to August 31, 2012.

The average daily work camp occupancy rate used in the determination of total future proceeds is an estimate; therefore actual future proceeds under the land use agreement could vary significantly. Future changes in land use agreement receivable, if any, could have a material impact and would be reflected prospectively, as a change in accounting estimate.

Note 11 - Resource Properties

	_	August 31, 2012	· -	November 30, 2011	 December 1, 2010
Land	\$	157,100	\$	157,100	\$ 157,100
Mineral permits		68,438		41,250	40,000
Mineral leases		79,051		31,802	-
Decommissioning and Restoration costs		336,607		136,457	-
Exploration costs		3,611,370		3,104,396	3,182,276
Development costs		283,781		1,223,484	-
Production costs		1,188,203		-	
	\$	5,724,550	\$	4,694,489	\$ 3,379,376

The land is located near Peace River, Alberta and was purchased as a potential gravel resource property.

The mineral permits are located largely in the Fort McMurray and Canadian Shield areas. They have a term of 14 years covering seven assessment periods of two years each. The spending commitment to retain the existing permits is \$5 per hectare for the first two year period, \$10 per hectare for the second two year period, \$10 per hectare for the third two year period, \$15 per hectare for the fourth two year period, \$15 per hectare for the sixth two year period and \$15 per hectare for the seventh two year period.

The Corporation has seven mineral leases covering 12,800 hectares containing silica sand reserves in the Wood Buffalo region of Alberta, referred to by the Corporation as the Firebag property, which the Corporation may develop for the production of frac sand. The Corporation has four mineral leases covering 5,835.5 hectares containing salt reserves in the area of Boyle, Alberta which the Corporation may develop for the production of salt. All leases are for a fifteen year period expiring May 11, 2026. Annual lease rental of \$3.50 per hectare is required as payment to maintain a mineral lease in good standing.

During the year ended November 30, 2011 the Corporation recognized an obligation for future decommissioning and restoration costs on its Kearl pit. A determination of the fair value of the Kearl pit

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 11 – Resource Properties (continued)

liability assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability incurred to August 31, 2012 of approximately \$224,748 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.80%, resulting in a present value of \$187,739, and included in decommissioning and restoration costs (net of \$943 accumulated depreciation).

During the three months ended February 29, 2012 the Corporation recognized an obligation for future decommissioning and restoration costs on its House River pit. A determination of the fair value of the House River pit liability assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability incurred to August 31, 2012 of approximately \$231,633 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.80%, resulting in a present value of \$148,868 and included in decommissioning and restoration costs (net of \$46,778 accumulated depreciation).

The following provides the land area covered by the Corporation's mineral permits:

	Nine months ended August 31, 2012	Year ended November 30, 2011
	(hectares)	(hectares)
Balance at the beginning of the period Mineral permits acquired during the period Minerals permits relinquished during the period	227,282 188,596 (37,505)	504,584 22,817 (300,119)
Balance at end of the period	378,373	227,282

Subsequent to August 31, 2012, the Corporation did not relinquish any mineral permits and acquired additional permits covering 72,256 hectares.

The exploration, development and production costs were incurred largely in the Fort McMurray and Canadian Shield areas and are comprised of:

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 11 - Resource Properties (continued) Exploration, Development and Production Costs as at August 31, 2012

Profession Pro				House	Pelican	Boyle	Firebag	Canadian	Birch	Dover	All Other	
Part	Exploration Costs	Logan Pit	Kearl Pit	River Pit	HIII Pit	Project	Project	Shield	Mountain	Project	Projects	Total
Caractrophes		\$ -	-	-	70,278	50,028	285,712	117,413	431,418	1,004,544	\$1,145,003	\$3,104,396
Part											0.000	0.000
Part	_	-	-	-	-	- 8m	28.043				-	
Page	_	_	_	_	_	-		-		- 1,770		
Color		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		
Sakiss ant entrylogical profession of the section o	Land and crop damages	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,580	9,580
Sanoy		-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	
Test		-	-	-	-	43	8,848	-	-	-	-	-
Characteristic Part	-	-	-	-	-	-	10 124	-	-	-		
Care		_	-	-	_	_	10, 134	_		_	23,117	- 39,251
Tital control Designart Class		_	-	-	560	_	128	_	_	_	20,848	21,536
Cambrido Exploration Cooks Again 31,2012 25,000 20,	Abandoned projects	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Cambrido Exploration Cooks Again 31,2012 25,000 20,	Total				560	6.559	75 237	400	575	1 775	421.868	506 974
Part						4,000	70,207	-100		1,770	-121,000	300,514
Post-part Costs Post-part 20, 2011 Seg. 62 968.96 976.26	·	<u> </u>			70.838	56 597	360 040	117.913		1 006 310	1 566 971	\$3,611,370
Section of Norther 30, 2012 activity Targite costs - National Agust 31, 2012 Targite costs - National Agu		-			70,000	00,007	000,010	111,010	-01,000	1,000,010	1,000,071	φο,στι,στο
Production Costs August 31, 2012 activity Production Costs Produ	•	¢200 000	040 076	27 426								£4 222 494
Trigile coats Pacificalizing 1.0	·	\$230,002	949,976	37,420	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ф 1,223,404
Table Tabl												
Title	-	47 699	_	-	-	_		_		-		47 699
Transferred to Production Costs	-		0/0.076	37.426								
Posturior Desis Production Costs Production C		200,701										
Production Obsead Norther 30, 2011 1966 197												
Selection Sele		\$283,781	-						-	-	-	\$ 283,781
Production changes 31, 2012 activity Tiggle coats - Real Part Production Changes - Real Part												
Figure 1	Balance at November 30, 2011	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
Tradi												
Title		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Comparison Com	-						-			-	-	
Comparison Production Costs August 31, 2012 Sure 3, 11,119,200 Sur		-			-	-	-	-		-		
Production Costs August 31, 2012 \$1,119,228 \$1,119,238 \$1,000,					-	-	-	-		-		
Production Codes August 3,1001 5,100,00 1,100,00 <th< th=""><th>- :</th><th><u> </u></th><th>\$ 1,119,828</th><th>\$ 68,375</th><th>> -</th><th>> -</th><th>> -</th><th>> -</th><th>> -</th><th>\$ -</th><th>\$ -</th><th>\$1,188,203</th></th<>	- :	<u> </u>	\$ 1,119,828	\$ 68,375	> -	> -	> -	> -	> -	\$ -	\$ -	\$1,188,203
Exportation Coates Logan Price (1.2016) Rear (1.2016)		\$283,781	\$ 1,119,828	\$ 68,375	\$70,838	\$56,587	\$360,949	\$ 117,813	\$431,993	\$ 1,006,319	\$1,566,871	\$5,083,354
Exportation Coates Logan Price (1.2016) Rear (1.2016)				House	Pelican	Boyle	Firebag	Canadian	Birch	Dover	All Other	
Pear and November 30, 2011 activity Clearing costs	Exploration Costs	Logan Pit	Kearl Pit									Total
Clearing costs 1 1 1 2 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 2 4	Balance at December 1, 2010	\$134,508	68.955								riojeus	
Clearing costs 1 1 1 2 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 2 4	Year end November 30, 2011 activity		ω,ω.	26,277	18,473	47,453	35,760	108,988	430,238	1,004,544		\$3,182,276
Dilling and testing			- ш,ш	26,277	18,473	47,453	35,760	108,988	430,238	1,004,544		\$3,182,276
Equipment and aircraft rental	Clearing costs	-	-	26,277	18,473	47,453		108,988	430,238	1,004,544	1,307,180	•
Land and corp damages Land leases Land leases 1 0 1 5,950 439 804 1,000 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 10,499 0 1 0,000 0 1 0,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1,000 0 1 0,000 0 1 0,000 0 1,000 0 1 0,	_	- 375	-	26,277 - -	-	-	25,687	-	430,238 - -	1,004,544	1,307,180	25,687
Land leeses Complete search of the search of t	Consulting fees Drilling and testing	- 375 -	-	26,277 - - -	- 3,865 -	-	25,687 96,393 104,872	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067	25,687 181,184 119,119
Salaries and employee benefits 7,729 5,950 439 804 1,000 10,499 107,304 133,725 Survey 7,200 9,800 107,000 17,000 Ticatel 7,200 9,800 7,292 21,187 28,550 Permits 7,500	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental	- 375 - -	-	26,277 - - -	- 3,865 - 2,000	-	25,687 96,393 104,872	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008
Survey - - 7,200 9,800 - - - - - 17,000 Tiracel - - - 71 - 7,292 - - 21,187 28,550 Permits -<	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages	- 375 - -	-	26,277 - - - -	- 3,865 - 2,000	-	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818	-	-	1,004,544 - - - - -	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977
Titavel - - 71 - 7,292 - - 21,187 28,580 Permits -	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases	- - -	- 1,375 - - -	- - - - -	3,865 - 2,000 33,977	- 1,5/5 - - -	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297
Other 38	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits	- - -	- 1,375 - - -	- - - - - 439	3,865 - 2,000 33,977 - 804	- 1,5/5 - - -	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725
Abandaned projects	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits Survey	- - -	- 1,375 - - -	- - - - - 439	3,865 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800	- 1,5/5 - - -	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000
Total	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Suvey Travel	- - -	- 1,375 - - -	- - - - 439 7,200	3,865 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800	- 1,5/5 - - -	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550
Tiansferred to Development Costs (1/42,650) (76,180) (33,958) (252,788) Currulative Exploration Costs November 30, 2011 \$	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits	- - - 7,729 - -	- 1,375 - - -	- - - - - - 439 7,200	3,865 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71	- 1,5/5 - - -	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500
Cumulative Exploration Costs-November 30, 2011 \$ - \$ - \$ 70,278 50,028 285,712 117,413 431,418 1,004,544 1,145,003 \$3,104,396 Development Costs Balance at December 1, 2010 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other	- - - 7,729 - -	- 1,375 - - -	- - - - - 439 7,200 - - -	3,865 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71	- 1,5/5 - - -	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292	-	-	1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,758	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517
Development Costs Balance at December 1, 2010 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects	7,729 - - - - - 38	- 1,375 - - - 5,950 - - - -	- - - - 439 7,200 - - 42	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288	1,5/5 1,000	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391	- 8,425 - - - - - - -	- 1,180 - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - -	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,753 (451,656)	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,656)
Balance at December 1, 2010 \$ 512,494 Tangible costs- Road building - 512,494 512,494 Intangible costs- All other 236,082 437,482 37,426 710,990 Cumulative Development Costs- November 30, 2011 5236,082 949,976 37,426 51,223,484 Total Exploration and Development Costs-	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total	7,729	- 1,375 - - - 5,960 - - - - - - 7,325	- - - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 -	1,5/5 1,000	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,952	- 8,425 - - - - - - -	- 1,180 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - -	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,758 (451,666)	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,656)
Tangible costs- Road building - 512,494 512,494 Intangible costs- All other 236,082 437,482 37,426 512,494 Outmutative Development Costs- November 30, 2011 5236,082 949,976 37,426 51,223,484 Total Exploration and Development Costs-	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total Transferred to Development Costs	7,729	- 1,375 - - - 5,950 - - - - - 7,325 (76,180)	- - - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958)	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806	1,575 - - - 1,000 - - - - 2,575	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,962	- 8,425 - - - - - - - - - 8,425	1,180 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - -	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,753 (451,656) (162,177)	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,666) 174,908
Intangible costs- All other 236,082 437,482 37,426 - - - - - - 710,990 Cumulative Development Costs- November 30, 2011 \$236,082 949,976 37,426 - - - - - - \$1,223,484 Total Exploration and Development Costs-	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total Transferred to Development Costs Cumulative Exploration Costs-November 30, 2011	7,729	- 1,375 - - - 5,950 - - - - - 7,325 (76,180)	- - - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958)	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806	1,575 - - - 1,000 - - - - 2,575	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,962	- 8,425 - - - - - - - - - 8,425	1,180 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - -	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,753 (451,656) (162,177)	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,666) 174,908
Intangible costs- All other 236,082 437,482 37,426 - - - - - - 710,990 Cumulative Development Costs- November 30, 2011 \$236,082 949,976 37,426 - - - - - - \$1,223,484 Total Exploration and Development Costs-	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total Transferred to Development Costs Cumulative Exploration Costs- November 30, 2011 Development Costs	7,729	- 1,375 - - - 5,950 - - - - - 7,325 (76,180)	- - - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958)	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806	1,575 - - - 1,000 - - - - 2,575	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,962	8,425 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1,180 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		1,307,180	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,656) 174,908 (252,788)
Total Exploration and Development Costs-	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and corp damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abanchmed projects Total Transferred to Development Costs Cumulative Exploration Costs November 30, 2011 Development Costs Balance at December 1, 2010	7,729	7,325	- - - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958)	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806	1,575 - - - 1,000 - - - - 2,575	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,952 - 285,712	8,425 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1,180 - - - - - - 1,180 - 431,418	- - - - - - - - 1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,758 (451,656) (162,177) - 1,145,003	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,666) 174,908 (252,788) \$3,104,396
	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total Transferred to Development Costs Cumulative Exploration Costs-November 30, 2011 Development Costs Balance at December 1, 2010 Tangible costs-Road building	7,729 38 - 8,142 (142,650) \$ -	- 1,375 - - - 5,950 - - - - 7,325 (76,180) -	- - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958) -	3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806	1,575 - - - 1,000 - - - - 2,575	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,952 - 285,712	8,425 	1,180 - - - - - - 1,180 - 431,418	- - - - - - - - 1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,758 (451,656) (162,177) - 1,145,003	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,656) 174,908 (252,788) \$3,104,396
November 30, 2011 \$236,082 949,976 37,426 70,278 50,028 285,712 117,413 431,418 1,004,544 1,145,003 \$4,327,880	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leases Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total Transferred to Development Costs Cumulative Exploration Costs-November 30, 2011 Development Costs Balance at December 1, 2010 Tangible costs- Road building Intangible costs- All other	7,729 38 - (142,650) \$ - \$ - 236,082	7,325 (76,180)	- - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958) -	- 3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806 - 70,278	- 1,575 1,000 50,028	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,952 - - 285,712	8,425 - - - - - - 8,425 - 117,413	1,180 - - - - - - 1,180 - 431,418	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,758 (451,656) (162,177) - 1,145,003	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,656) 174,908 (252,788) \$3,104,396
	Consulting fees Drilling and testing Equipment and aircraft rental Land and crop damages Land leeses Salaries and employee benefits Survey Travel Permits Other Abandoned projects Total Transferred to Development Costs Cumulative Exploration Costs November 30, 2011 Development Costs Balance at December 1, 2010 Tangible costs- All other Cumulative Development Costs November 30, 2011 Total Development Costs November 30, 2011	7,729 38 - (142,650) \$ - \$ - 236,082	- 1,375 	- - - 439 7,200 - - 42 - 7,681 (33,958) -	- 3,866 - 2,000 33,977 - 804 9,800 71 - 1,288 - 51,806 - 70,278	- 1,575 1,000 50,028	25,687 96,393 104,872 1,818 - - 10,499 - 7,292 - 3,391 - 249,952 - - 285,712	8,425 - - - - - - 8,425 - 117,413	1,180 - - - - - - 1,180 - 431,418	- - - - - - - 1,004,544	1,307,180 - 69,176 13,067 14,190 - 40,297 107,304 - 21,187 7,500 16,758 (451,656) (162,177) - 1,145,003	25,687 181,184 119,119 18,008 33,977 40,297 133,725 17,000 28,550 7,500 21,517 (451,666) 174,908 (252,788) \$3,104,396 \$ \$- 512,494 710,990

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 12 - Intangible Assets

3			Poplar Creek	
	Susan Lake	Poplar Creek	Decommissioning	
	Management	Management	and Restoration	
	Contract	Contract	Costs	Total
Cost at:	\$	\$	\$	\$
December 1, 2010	7,800,000	105,000	257,182	8,162,182
additions	-	-	176,222	176,222
November 30, 2011	7,800,000	105,000	433,404	8,338,404
additions	-	-	26,319	26,319
August 31, 2012	7,800,000	105,000	459,723	8,364,723
Accumulated Amortization at:				
December 1, 2010	1,757,407	50,098	120,183	1,927,688
amortization for the period	866,667	24,706	61,405	952,778
disposal of land	-	-	63,836	63,836
write-offs	-	30,196	187,980	218,176
November 30, 2011	2,624,074	105,000	433,404	3,162,478
amortization for the period	650,000	-	-	650,000
disposal of land	-	-	6,313	6,313
write-offs	-	-	20,006	20,006
August 31, 2012	3,274,074	105,000	459,723	3,838,797
Carrying value at:				
December 1, 2010	6,042,593	54,902	136,999	6,234,494
November 30, 2011	5,175,926	-	-	5,175,926
August 31, 2012	4,525,926	-	-	4,525,926
Amortization expense for the following	owing periods:			
(reported on income statement a	s amortization of intar	ngible assets)		
			Poplar Creek	
	Sucan Lako	Poplar Crook	Docommissioning	

	Susan Lake Management Contract	Poplar Creek Management Contract	Poplar Creek Decommissioning and Restoration Costs	Total
	<u> </u>	\$	\$	\$
Period ending August 31, 2012	650,000	-	-	650,000
Period ending August 31, 2011	650,000	18,529	45,734	714,263

Intangible assets consist of two management contracts with the Province of Alberta relating to the management of aggregate pits at Poplar Creek, Alberta and Susan Lake, Alberta. The Susan Lake management contract is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the life of the contract. As at August 31, 2012 the remaining term of the contract is 63 months. The Poplar Creek pit has been depleted and accordingly its management contract and decommissioning and restoration costs carrying values were written off at November 30, 2011.

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012, the estimate for future decommissioning and restoration costs for the Poplar Creek pit increased by \$26,319. Of the increase, \$6,313 was attributable to the 42 acres related to the land use agreement (Note 10), and \$20,006 was attributable to the 124 acre laydown storage yard. \$6,313 was recorded as a reduction in the gain on the land use agreement, and \$20,006 was

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 12 - Intangible Assets (continued)

charged to intangible assets and subsequently impaired and included in the write down of intangible assets.

The terms of the contracts give the Province of Alberta the right to terminate the contracts without cause upon three months written notice. The contracts provide that the Province of Alberta may at any time during the term of the agreement require the Corporation to operate the tender location in cooperation with oil sand lease development. The Province of Alberta also has the right to withdraw any portion of the lands from the contracts and those lands withdrawn shall cease to be the responsibility of the Corporation with respect to decommissioning and restoration. As at August 31, 2012 the contracts are in effect, and no portions of the lands have been withdrawn for oil sand lease development (Note 24 c).

Note 13- Goodwill

The goodwill arose as a result of the acquisition of Aggregates Management Inc. that closed on November 20, 2008. The acquired company held the management contracts to operate on behalf of the Province of Alberta, two aggregate pits in the Fort McMurray area of Alberta. Impairment of goodwill was tested at transition on December 1, 2010 and November 30, 2011 with a conclusion reached that no impairment has occurred. No events have occurred or circumstances changed that would suggest there could be impairment at August 31, 2012.

The Susan Lake pit cash generating unit ("CGU"), represents virtually all of the revenues and cash inflows of the acquired company, with the result that all goodwill is allocated to the Susan Lake pit CGU for the purposes of impairment testing.

The recoverable amount of a CGU is determined based on the higher of value in use calculations or fair value less cost to sell. The Corporation's value in use calculations use after-tax cash flow projections expected to be generated by the CGU based on the actual results of operations from the preceding fiscal year. The cash flows were done over duration equal to the remaining life of the Susan Lake management contract (six years and seven years at November 30, 2011 and December 1, 2010, respectively). No growth rate was applied to the projections and a discount rate of 9% had been used based on the Corporation's after-tax weighted cost of capital.

Note 14 – Callable Debt	August 31, 2012	November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$150,000 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 1.875%, due December 31, 2013.	\$	- \$3,750,000	\$ 5,550,000
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$771 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 2%, due June 30, 2013.		- 14,646	23,896
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$2,583 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 2%, due September 30, 2015.		<u> </u>	149,833
	\$	- \$3,883,479	\$ 5,723,729

During the three months ended August 31, 2012 the callable debt had been refinanced with proceeds from long-term debt (see Note 15).

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 15 – Long-Term Debt	August 31, 2012	November 30, 2011		1, 2010	
Bank loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$83,333 plus interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 1.75%, due June 30, 2016	\$ 3,833,333	\$ -	<u>-</u>	\$	_
Deferred long-term debt transaction costs amortized over life of term debt	(39,187)				
Principal due within one year	3,794,146 1,350,000				
	\$2,444,146	\$ -		\$	-

The principal repayment requirements for the subsequent four years are as follows:

September 1, 2012 – August 31, 2013	\$1,350,000
September 1, 2013 – August 31, 2014	\$1,000,000
September 1, 2014 – August 31, 2015	\$1,000,000
September 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016	\$ 483,333
	\$3,833,333

Included in the principal repayments noted above for the period September 1, 2012 to August 31, 2013 is the additional amount of \$350,000, representing an annual cash sweep of 20% free cash flow or a minimum of \$350,000 whichever is larger. The cash sweep covenant is to be waived once the outstanding term debt reduces to \$2,500,000 or if the Corporation's annual funded debt to EBITDA ratio is less than 2.0 to 1.0.

The following security was provided for the long-term debt, the lease obligation, the demand revolving operating loan, and the following additional credit facilities:

- general security agreement creating a first priority security interest in all present and after acquired personal property of the Corporation and a floating charge over all the Corporation's present and after acquired real property:
- collateral land mortgage over half of a section of land located near Peace River, Alberta (Note 11);
- assignment of risk insurance;
- environmental agreement and indemnity;
- security agreement over cash, credit balances and deposit instruments; and
- current account overdraft agreement in support of line of credit.

The Corporation has access to a \$3,000,000 demand operating loan with a sub-limit of \$2,000,000 available for letters of commercial credit. The operating loan bears interest at the bank's prime lending rate plus 1%. No balance was outstanding on the operating loan, apart from the letters of credit described below, which bear a different rate of interest.

Availability of operating loan borrowing is subject to margin requirements, and is determined based upon acceptable accounts receivable and inventory.

The Corporation has a letter of commercial credit for \$603,000 to the benefit of the Government of Alberta for decommissioning and restoration at the Susan Lake pit. A cost of 2.50% per annum is charged for the letter of commercial credit.

The Corporation has a letter of commercial credit for \$248,760 to the benefit of the Government of Alberta for decommissioning and restoration in relation to a miscellaneous lease for a storage yard located at the Poplar Creek site. A cost of 2.50% per annum is charged for the letter of commercial credit.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 15 – Long-Term Debt (continued)

The Corporation has a letter of commercial credit for \$500,000 to the benefit of the Government of Alberta for decommissioning and restoration at the Poplar Creek pit. A cost of 2.50% per annum is charged for the letter of commercial credit.

The Corporation has access to a \$6,000,000 leasing facility to finance the acquisition of equipment. See Lease Obligation (Note 16).

The Corporation has access to a corporate credit card facility, up to a maximum of \$100,000.

As at August 31, 2012 the Corporation is in compliance with the lender's covenants.

Note 16 – Lease Obligation	August 31, 2012	November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010
Loan, repayable in monthly instalments of \$38,817 including interest at 4.124%, due June 30, 2017 Principal due within one year	\$2,006,284 390,384	\$ -	\$ -
	\$1,615,900	\$ -	\$ -

Future minimum lease payments for the subsequent five years are as follows:

September 1, 2012 - August 31, 2013	\$	465,799
September 1, 2013 – August 31, 2014		465,799
September 1, 2014 – August 31, 2015		465,799
September 1, 2015 – August 31, 2016		465,799
September 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017		349,449
Less interest included in payments:	<u>\$2</u>	<u>,212,645</u>
Year 1	\$	75,415
Years 2 - 5	_	130,946
	<u>\$</u>	206,361
Lease loan principal outstanding	\$2	2,006,284

Security is provided for the lease obligation. See Long-Term Debt (Note 15).

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012 the maximum leasing facility was increased from \$3,000,000 to \$6,000,000. Subsequent to August 31, 2012 the \$6,000,000 leasing facility was fully advanced to the Corporation. See Subsequent Events (Note 28).

Note 17 - Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

The Corporation has recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision in connection with the Poplar Creek management agreement and related surface material lease acquired November 20, 2008, with the Kearl pit surface material lease for land disturbance occurring during the year ended November 30, 2011, and with the House River pit surface material lease for land disturbance occurring during the three months ended February 29, 2012.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 17 – Decommissioning and Restoration Provision (continued)

		Nine months ended August 31, 2012		Year ended November 30, 2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$	587,664	\$	267,781
Change in interest rate		23,071		14,241
Accretion		10,999		7,204
Change in estimate		76,772		(184,874)
Kearl pit addition during the period		-		483,312
House River pit addition during period	_	174,348	=	
Balance at end of period	\$_	872,854	\$	587,664

A determination of the fair value of the Poplar Creek provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability as at August 31, 2012 of approximately \$578,143. This pertains to both the depleted 42 acre parcel of land transferred under a long-term land use agreement with a work camp provider (Note 10), and the depleted 124 acres on which the Corporation holds a miscellaneous lease to develop a storage yard within the Poplar Creek pit. The decommissioning and restoration costs are expected to be expended at the expiry of the land use agreement in 2015 plus an expected five year renewal through 2020, and at the expiry of the miscellaneous lease term in 2013, with decommissioning and restoration expected to commence in 2013 on a portion of the land and in 2022 on the balance of the land. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.80%. The Corporation has provided a \$500,000 letter of credit to the benefit of the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the Poplar Creek management agreement and related surface material lease (Note 12). These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%. The estimated future decommissioning and restoration cost associated with the Poplar Creek pit was increased by \$23,335 during the three months ended August 31, 2012.

During the year ended November 30, 2011 the Corporation recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision on its Kearl pit. A determination of the fair value of the Kearl pit provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the liability as at August 31, 2012 of approximately \$224,748 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.80%. The Corporation has provided a \$38,300 security deposit paid to the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the Kearl pit surface materials lease. These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%. The estimated future decommissioning and restoration cost associated with the Kearl pit was increased by \$47,092 during the three months ended August 31, 2012 with the increase added to the related asset.

During the three months ended February 29, 2012 the Corporation recognized a decommissioning and restoration provision on its House River pit. A determination of the fair value of the House River pit provision assumes undiscounted estimated future cash flows needed to settle the provision as at August 31, 2012 of approximately \$231,633 which is expected to be expended at the termination of the surface materials lease in 2021. These estimated future cash flows have been discounted at a risk-free rate of 1.80%. The Corporation has provided a \$27,430 security deposit paid to the Government of Alberta on behalf of the Corporation for decommissioning and restoration in relation to the House River pit surface materials lease. These estimated future cash flows include an assumed inflation rate of 3%. The estimated future decommissioning and restoration cost associated with the House River pit was increased by \$21,582 during the three months ended August 31, 2012 with the increase added to the related asset.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 17 – Decommissioning and Restoration Provision (continued)

No decommissioning and restoration provision has been provided for the Susan Lake management agreement as either a third party will assume the retirement costs or the specific area of the pit has not been environmentally disturbed.

In view of uncertainties concerning decommissioning and restoration provisions, the ultimate costs could be materially different from the amounts estimated. The estimate of future decommissioning and restoration provisions is subject to change based on amendments to applicable laws and legislation. Future changes in decommissioning and restoration provisions, if any, could have a significant impact and would be reflected prospectively, as a change in accounting estimate.

Note 18 - Deferred Gain on Sale and Leaseback

August 31, 2012	November 30, 2011	December 1, 2010			
\$ 35,725	\$ -	\$ 			

The Corporation received lease proceeds on the sale and leaseback of property and equipment in an amount of \$37,605 exceeding the carrying value of the assets that were sold. The deferred gain on sale and leaseback will be realized over the 60 month term of the lease. During the nine months ended August 31, 2012, \$1880 of the deferred gain on sale and leaseback was realized and taken into income.

Note 19 - Income Taxes

The estimation of the Corporation's deferred tax assets and liabilities involves significant judgment around a number of assumptions. Judgment must be used to determine the Corporation's future earning potential, and the expected timing of the reversal of deferred tax assets and liabilities. Further uncertainties are the result of interpretation of tax legislation which might differ from the ultimate assessment of the tax authorities. These differences may affect the final amount or the timing of the payment of taxes.

Deferred taxes reflects the tax effects of non-capital losses carried forward and the effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for income tax purposes.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the net deferred tax liability are:

	August 31, 2012	_	November 30, 2011		December 1, 2010
Deferred tax assets:					
Decommissioning and restoration provision	\$ 218,214	\$	146,916	\$	66,945
Cumulative eligible capital	25,079		25,079		26,756
Prepaid gravel	37,500		37,500		-
Lease obligation	491,774		-		-
Deferred gain on sale	8,931		-		-
Share issue costs	13,990		13,990		13,990
	795,488		223,485		107,691
Deferred tax liabilities:					
Property and equipment	766,041		65,684		59,465
Resource properties	1,359,123		953,901		816,001
Land use agreement receivable	322,940		204,233		-
Intangible assets	1,135,331		1,296,621	-	1,537,901
	3,583,435		2,520,439		2,413,367
Deferred tax liability	\$ 2,787,947	\$	2,296,954	\$	2,305,676

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 19 - Income Taxes (continued)

Income tax expense varies from the amount that would result from applying the combined federal and provincial income tax rates to income before income taxes. These variances are presented in the following table.

	Nine months ended		
	August 31, 2012		August 31, 2011
Income before income taxes Statutory Canadian combined corporate tax rate	\$ 5,234,253 25.0%	\$	2,230,089 26.5%
Expected tax expense	1,308,563		590,974
Increase in income taxes resulting from: Non-deductible expenses Other Changes in income tax rates	\$ 52,379 323,503 - 1,684,445	\$	29,903 104,871 33,451 759,199
The provision for deferred taxes is comprised of:			
Provision for current taxes Provision for deferred taxes	\$ 1,193,452 490,993	\$	542,016 217,183
	\$ 1,684,445	\$	759,199

Note 20 - Share Capital

a) Authorized:

An unlimited number of Common voting shares with no par value Preferred shares, issuable in series

b) The Corporation has issued common voting shares of its share capital as follows:

		ended August 2012	Year ended No 2011	vember 30,
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Amount
Balance at beginning of period	27,199,166	\$ 6,655,116	27,149,165 \$	6,585,761
Transfer from contributed surplus on exercise of stock options	-	112,084	-	54,358
Repurchased shares (Note 20 c)	-	-	(215,000)	(52,154)
Issued shares on exercise of stock options (Note 20 d)	583,333	152,866	265,001	67,151
Balance at end of period	27,782,499	\$ 6,920,066	27,199,166 \$	6,655,116

c) Repurchased common shares:

During the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2011 the Corporation had in place a normal course issuer bid that commenced on July 5, 2010 and terminated on July 5, 2011. During the year ended November 30, 2011 the aggregate cost of the common shares purchased and cancelled was \$58,498 of which \$52,154 was recorded as a charge against share capital for the average carrying value of the common shares of approximately \$0.24 per share with \$6,344 charged to retained earnings.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 20 - Share Capital (continued)

c) Repurchased common shares: (continued)

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012 the Corporation had in place a normal course issuer bid that commenced on August 12, 2011 and terminated on August 12, 2012 (the "Bid"). During the year ended November 30, 2011 and during the nine months ended August 31, 2012 no common shares were repurchased pursuant to this Bid.

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012 the Corporation had in place the Bid. In accordance with the terms of the Bid, the Corporation may purchase up to a total of 1,388,625 common shares representing approximately 5% of the common shares of the Corporation issued and outstanding as at August 9, 2012. The Bid commenced on August 14, 2012 and will terminate on August 14, 2013. All acquisitions of common shares by the Corporation pursuant to the Bid will be made through the facilities of TSX Venture Exchange Inc. (the "Exchange") at the market price for the common shares at the time of the acquisition. The purchase and payment for the common shares will be made by the Corporation in accordance with the by-laws and rules of the Exchange.

There are no persons acting jointly or in concert with the Corporation in respect of the Bid. The Corporation is making the Bid in order to stabilize the trading price and provide liquidity in the market for its common shares. During the nine months ended August 31, 2012 no common shares had been repurchased pursuant to the Bid.

d) Stock options:

The Corporation has issued options to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Corporation as incentives.

The continuity of the Corporation's outstanding stock options is as follows:

	months ended August 31, 2012				Year ended November 30, 2011
	Number of Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding, beginning of period	2,414,100	\$	0.33	2,645,767	\$ 0.32
Issued	1,410,000	\$	0.69	70,000	\$ 0.35
Expired or cancelled	(1,205,767)	\$	0.40	(36,666)	\$ 0.26
Exercised Options outstanding, end of	(583,333)	\$	0.26	(265,001)	\$ 0.25
period	2,035,000	\$	0.56	2,414,100	\$ 0.33

Of the outstanding stock options, 986,667 options were exercisable at August 31, 2012 at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.41 per share.

The weighted average remaining contractual life of the options is 4.06 years. The weighted average share price on the dates options were exercised is \$0.62.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 20 - Share Capital (continued)

d) Stock options: (continued)

The Corporation's stock option plan provides that the Board of Directors may from time to time, in its discretion, grant to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Corporation, or any subsidiary of the Corporation, the option to purchase common shares. The stock option plan provides for a floating maximum limit of 10% of the outstanding common shares, as permitted by the policies of the TSX Venture Exchange. Options may be exercisable for up to ten years from the date of grant, but the Board of Directors has the discretion to grant options that are exercisable for a shorter period. Options under the stock option plan are not transferable or assignable. Pursuant to the stock option plan, options must be exercised within a reasonable period following termination of employment or cessation of the optionee's position with the Corporation, or such other period established by the Board of Directors, provided that if the cessation of office, directorship, consulting arrangement or employment was by reason of death or disability, the option may be exercised within one year, subject to the expiry date.

On March 29, 2012, the Corporation granted 1,205,000 stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers, employees and consultants. The options vest as to one-third on each of August 29, 2012, March 29, 2013 and August 29, 2013. The fair value of the options on the grant date was estimated at \$556,107 (\$0.4615 weighted average fair value per option) using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Exercise price \$0.63 (equal to closing price on date of grant)

Dividend yield Nil
Expected Volatility 97%
Risk free rate of return 1.55%
Expected life 5 years

On August 24, 2012, the Corporation granted 205,000 stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers, employees and consultants. The options vest as to one-third on each of February 24, 2013, August 24, 2013 and February 24, 2014. The fair value of the options on the grant date was estimated at \$154,508 (\$0.7537 weighted average fair value per option) using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Exercise price \$1.04 (equal to closing price on date of grant)

Dividend yield Nil
Expected Volatility 96%
Risk free rate of return 1.4%
Expected life 5 years

The expected volatility was determined using historical trading data for the Corporation for a period commensurate with the expected life of the options.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 20 - Share Capital (continued)

d) Stock options: (continued)

The following is a summary of the outstanding stock options:

Expiry Date	 ercise Price	Number of Options Outstanding August 31, 2012	Number of Options Outstanding November 30, 2011	Number of Options Outstanding December 1, 2010
January 8, 2012	\$.40	-	955,767	955,767
October 15, 2012	\$.26	-	150,000	150,000
May 13, 2013	\$.40	25,000	75,000	75,000
September 21, 2014	\$.25	200,000	450,000	625,000
October 15, 2014	\$.40	-	100,000	100,000
November 2, 2014	\$.40	-	100,000	100,000
October 15, 2015	\$.26	340,000	513,333	640,000
October 6, 2016	\$.35	70,000	70,000	-
March 29, 2017	\$.63	1,195,000	· -	-
August 24, 2017	\$ 1.04	205,000		
		2,035,000	2,414,100	2,645,767

e) Net income per common share

	For the Three Months Ended August 31,				For the Nine Aug	Montl ust 31	
	 2012		2011		2012		2011
Net income per common share- basic							
Net income Weighted average number of	\$ 2,044,148	\$	1,108,065	\$	3,549,808	\$	1,470,890
common shares outstanding	27,665,089		27,032,716		27,392,810		27,017,892
Net income per common share	\$ 0.074	\$	0.041	\$	0.130	\$	0.054
Net income per common share- diluted							
Net income Weighted average number of	\$ 2,044,148	\$	1,108,065	\$	3,549,808	\$	1,470,890
common shares outstanding Effect of employee share-	27,665,089		27,032,716		27,392,810		27,017,892
based compensation	657,237		1,680,835	_	356,794		454,044
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding,	00 000 000		00.740.554		07.740.004		07.474.000
assuming dilution	28,322,326		28,713,551		27,749,604		27,471,936
Net income per common share	\$ 0.072	\$	0.039	\$	0.128	\$	0.054

During the three months ended August 31, 2012, 205,000 options outstanding at August 31, 2012 were not dilutive.

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012, 1,400,000 options outstanding at August 31, 2012 were not dilutive.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 21 - Finance Costs

	For the Three Months Ended August 31,					For the Nine Months Ended August 31,			
		2012		2011		2012		2011	
Interest on callable debt Interest on long-term debt Interest on lease obligation Amortization on long-term debt	\$	4,741 41,090 17,745	\$	57,147 - -	\$	90,168 41,090 17,745	\$	186,981	
transaction costs		2,063				2,063			
Accretion		8,762		1,078		10,999		3,449	
	\$	74,401	\$	58,225	\$	162,065	\$	190,430	

Note 22 - Related Party Transactions

During the three months ended August 31, 2012, the Corporation incurred expenses of \$441,010 (2011 - \$116,525) for services provided by certain directors and officers and certain companies controlled by certain directors and officers of the Corporation as further described below.

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012, the Corporation incurred expenses of \$845,701 (2011 - \$378,637) for services provided by certain directors and officers and certain companies controlled by certain directors and officers of the Corporation as further described below.

These fees are recorded in the financial statements as follows:

		ree Months ded	En	ne Months ded
	Augu	ıst 31,	Augu	ıst 31,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Directors and Officers:				
Directors fees and expenses	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 9,139
Travel and miscellaneous	6,254	19,573	24,395	36,546
Exploration and development costs	· -	38	-	293
	6,254	19,611	24,395	45,978
Companies controlled by directors and officers:				
Consulting fees for services rendered	45,899	76,938	215,160	268,636
Travel and miscellaneous	6,073	4,151	10,572	10,111
Exploration and development costs	365,784	825	548,574	8,912
Rent	17,000	15,000	47,000	45,000
	434,756	96,914	821,306	332,659
	\$ 441,010	\$ 116,525	\$ 845,701	\$ 378,637

All related party transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

Note 23 - Compensation of Key Management

Key management personnel include members of the Board of Directors and the senior leadership team. Compensation for key management personnel, including directors, was as follows:

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 23 – Compensation of Key Management (continued)

	For the Thr Enc Augus	led	For the Nir End Augus	led
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Salaries and other benefits Share-based benefits	\$ 183,203 44,151	\$ 138,004 18,031	\$ 596,633 132,267	\$ 503,020 68,999
	\$ 227,354	\$ 156,035	\$ 728,900	\$ 572,019

Note 24 - Financial Instruments

The Corporation's financial instruments consist of cash, restricted cash, accounts receivable, land use agreement receivable, short-term investment, long-term deposits, trade and other payables, lease obligation and term debt.

a) Fair Value

Due to the short-term nature of cash, accounts receivable, trade and other payables the carrying value of these financial instruments approximate their fair value. The fair value of short-term investment, restricted cash and term debt approximates their carrying values as they are at the market rate of interest. Long-term deposits are refundable. The fair value of long-term deposits is not materially different from carrying value. The lease obligation is at a fixed rate of interest. The fair value of the lease obligation is not materially different from carrying value. Land use agreement receivable is an estimate of discounted future cash flow with carrying value approximating fair value.

b) Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Corporation to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, restricted cash, short-term investment, accounts receivable, long-term deposits and land use agreement receivable. The Corporation's maximum credit risk at August 31, 2012 is the carrying value of these financial assets.

In the normal course of business the Corporation evaluates the financial condition of its customers on a continuing basis and reviews the credit worthiness of all new customers. Management assesses the need for allowances for potential credit losses by considering the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends and other information. At August 31, 2012, 74.1% of the Corporation's accounts receivable was due from four customers.

The Corporation's aged accounts receivable are comprised of 47.6% current, 25.7% past due up to 60 days and 26.7% past due over 60 days. While certain amounts are past due, management considers there is no impairment of the accounts receivable.

Included in accounts receivable past due over 60 days is \$800,035 owed to the Corporation from a customer who is an oil sands industry participant. This amount has been disputed by the customer however management expects to collect the receivable during the year ending November 30, 2012.

Credit risk associated with cash, restricted cash and short-term investment is minimized substantially by ensuring that these financial assets are placed with major financial institutions that have been accorded strong investment grade rating. Long-term deposits are held with the Government of Alberta thus bear little credit risk.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 24 - Financial Instruments (continued)

c) Liquidity Risk

The Corporation manages liquidity risk by ensuring sufficient funds are available to meet liabilities when they come due. Under its long-term credit facilities, the Corporation must maintain certain ratios. The Corporation has complied with all ratios as at August 31, 2012.

As at August 31, 2012 the Corporation has sufficient working capital to fund ongoing operations and meet its liabilities when they come due. Accordingly, the Corporation is not exposed to significant liquidity risk. The Corporation has identified its financial liabilities as trade and other payables, term debt (excluding deferred transaction costs) and lease obligation. In aggregate the contractual maturities and amount due at maturity by fiscal year for these financial liabilities are as follows:

Year 1	\$3,296,549
Year 2	\$1,337,824
Year 3	\$1,420,989
Year 4	\$ 922,017
Year 5	\$ 418,403

Year 1 above provides for a minimum cash sweep in the amount of \$350,000 on the Corporation's term debt. The amount may be greater (see Note 15). The Corporation's existing credit facilities and cash flow from operating activities is expected to be greater than anticipated capital expenditures and the contractual maturities of the Corporation's financial liabilities for 2012. The expectation could be adversely affected by a material negative change in the demand for aggregate or the Corporation's management contracts (Note 12).

d) Foreign Currency Risk

The Corporation maintains a USD currency bank account with a nominal balance for the infrequent need to fund supplier purchases denominated in USD currency. As at August 31, 2012 the Corporation had USD cash on hand in the amount of \$1,816 (CAD \$1,799), and no USD denominated trade and other payables or receivables.

e) Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation has an interest bearing term deposit and carries variable rate debt financing. Given the interest rate is fixed on the term deposit the Corporation is not exposed to any interest rate risk on this financial instrument. However, the Corporation is exposed to interest rate risk on the variable rate term loan and letters of credit facility. A 100 basis point increase in the interest rate on the term loan and letters of credit facility would decrease net income and comprehensive income by approximately \$60,000.

The Corporation's term debt bears interest at 1.75% over the bank's prime lending rate. The Corporation's letters of credit facility bears interest at 2.5% over the bank's prime lending rate. As the bank's prime lending rate fluctuates so will the cost of borrowing.

Note 25 - Capital Disclosures

The Corporation defines capital as equity. The Corporation's objective when managing capital is to provide sufficient capital to cover normal operating and capital expenditures. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Corporation may issue debt, purchase shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bids or issue new shares. The Corporation is subject to externally imposed capital requirements as discussed below.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 25 - Capital Disclosures (continued)

The Corporation is subject to externally imposed capital requirements represented by various bank covenants related to its term debt and operating loan. These covenants include restrictions on capital expenditures and buy-back of share capital, minimum debt service coverage, minimum working capital ratio and a maximum funded debt to EBITDA ratio. The covenants will result in restrictions on the use of capital. As at August 31, 2012 the Corporation is in compliance with these covenants.

There were no changes to the Corporation's capital management during the period ended August 31, 2012.

	Nine Months Ended					
Note 26 - Supplemental Cash Flow Information		August 31, 2012		August 31, 2011		
The Corporation paid or received cash during the period for the following:						
Interest paid	\$	149,003	\$	189,009		
Interest received	\$	11,464	\$	736		
Income taxes paid	\$	1,310,388	\$	1,151,300		

During the nine months ended August 31, 2012 the Corporation obtained property and equipment in the amount of \$2,108,617 by entering into a finance lease. Of the total, property and equipment in the amount of \$1,946,454 was acquired on a sale and leaseback basis, and a further \$162,163 of acquired property and equipment was not previously owned by the Corporation. Acquiring assets by entering into a finance lease involves non-cash investing and financing, and accordingly does not appear in the statements of cash flows.

No financial leasing had been entered into during the comparative period.

Note 27 - Transition to IFRS

The Corporation's IFRS accounting policies presented in Note 5 have been applied in preparing the financial statements for the period ended August 31, 2012, the comparative information and the opening balance sheet at the Transition Date.

The Corporation has applied IFRS 1, *First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards* in preparing these IFRS financial statements. The effects of the transition to IFRS on equity, net income and comprehensive income and reported cash flows are presented in this section and are further explained in the notes that accompany the tables below. There was no significant impact on the statements of cash flows as a result of adopting IFRS.

First time adoption and exceptions applied

Upon transition to IFRS, IFRS 1 mandates certain exceptions to IFRS and permits certain exemptions from full retrospective application. The Company has applied the mandatory exceptions and elected certain optional exemptions.

Mandatory exceptions to retrospective application

Estimates

Hindsight was not used to create or revise estimates. The Corporation's estimates in accordance with IFRS at the date of transition are consistent with estimates made for the same date in accordance with Canadian GAAP.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 27 - Transition to IFRS (continued)

Elected exemptions from full retrospective application

Share-based payment transactions

The Corporation has elected under IFRS to not apply IFRS 2 *Share-based Payments* to stock options that have vested by December 1, 2010, the Transition Date.

Business Combinations

The Corporation has elected not to apply IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* retrospectively to business combinations that occurred before the date of transition to IFRS.

Borrowing Costs

The Corporation has elected not to capitalize borrowing costs related to any qualifying asset that has started development as at the transition date. The capitalization of borrowing costs will commence following the transition date.

Presentation differences

Some financial statement line items are described differently under IFRS than they were under Canadian GAAP. These line items (with Canadian GAAP descriptions in brackets) are:

- Deferred taxes (Future income taxes)
- Share-based compensation (stock-based compensation)
- The statement of net income, comprehensive income and retained earnings has been replaced by two separate statements: statement of comprehensive income and statement of changes in equity
- Trade and other payables (accounts payable and accrued liabilities)
- Depreciation and amortization (Amortization)
- Decommissioning and restoration provision (asset retirement obligation)
- Finance costs (interest on callable debt and accretion)

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 27 – Transition to IFRS (continued)

Reconciliation of balance sheets

Recordination or balance sh	eets	As at Dece	mber 1, 20	10	As	As at August 31, 2011			As at November 30, 2011			
		Canadian GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	Canadia GAAP	an t	Effect of ransition to IFRS	IFRS	Canadian GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	
	Note	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
					(Note 2	9)						
ASSETS CURRENT												
Cash		1,296,812	-	1,296,812	484,2	264	-	484,264	1,397,883	-	1,397,883	
Accounts receivable		3,210,246	-	3,210,246	3,087,8	388	-	3,087,888	3,778,126	-	3,778,126	
Prepaid expenses		502,546	-	502,546	263,1	193	-	263,193	327,510	-	327,510	
Ourrent portion of land use agreement receivable		-	-	-	58,3	318	-	58,318	213,057	-	213,057	
Short-term investment		603,000	-	603,000	603,0	∞	-	603,000	603,000	-	603,000	
		5,612,604	=	5,612,604	4,496,6	3 633	-	4,496,663	6,319,576	-	6,319,576	
LONG-TERM DEPOSITS		25,050	_	25,050	106,5	590	_	106,590	106,590	_	106,590	
RESTRICTED CASH		-	-	-	21,3	346	-	21,346	25,522	-	25,522	
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT	-	858,911	-	858,911	767,5	576	-	767,576	734,034	-	734,034	
LANDUSE AGREEMENT RECEIVABLE		-	-	-	84,9	982	-	84,982	603,876	-	603,876	
RESOURCE PROPERTIES	i	3,445,276	(65,900)	3,379,376	5,161,3	371	143,288	5,304,659	4,729,270	(34,781)	4,694,489	
INTANGIBLE ASSETS	ii	6,201,442	33,052	6,234,494	5,498,2	264	24,729	5,522,993	5,175,926	-	5,175,926	
GOODWILL		2,537,701	-	2,537,701	2,537,7	701	-	2,537,701	2,537,701	-	2,537,701	
		18,680,984	(32,848)	18,648,136	18,674,4	193	168,017	18,842,510	20,232,495	(34,781)	20,197,714	
Liabilities Current												
Trade and other payables		871,279	-	871,279	797,9	267	-	797,967	1,476,071	-	1,476,071	
Income tax payable		700,910	-	700,910	91,6	327	-	91,627	271,630	-	271,630	
Callable debt		5,723,729	-	5,723,729	4,343,5	542	-	4,343,542	3,883,479	-	3,883,479	
		7,295,918	-	7,295,918	5,233,1	136	-	5,233,136	5,631,180	-	5,631,180	
DECOMMISSIONING AND RESTORATION PROMISION	ii	231,436	36,345	267,781	533,1	158	224,146	757,304	446,032	141,632	587,664	
DEFERRED TAX	i, ii, iii	2,357,456	(51,780)	2,305,676	2,552,3	376	(29,518)	2,522,858	2,341,057	(44,103)	2,296,954	
		9,884,810	(15,435)	9,869,375	8,318,6	57 0	194,628	8,513,298	8,418,269	97,529	8,515,798	
EQUITY												
SHARE CAPITAL		6,585,761	-	6,585,761	6,594,6		-	6,594,612	6,655,116	-	6,655,116	
CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS		736,643	-	736,643	813,6		-	813,697	795,996	-	795,996	
RETAINED EARNINGS		1,473,770	(17,413)	1,456,357	2,947,5		(26,611)	2,920,903		(132,310)	4,230,804	
		8,796,174	(17,413)	8,778,761	10,355,8	323	(26,611)	10,329,212	11,814,226	(132,310)	11,681,916	
		18,680,984	(32,848)	18,648,136	18,674,4	193	168,017	18,842,510	20,232,495	(34,781)	20,197,714	

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 27 – Transition to IFRS (continued)

Reconciliation of statement of net income and comprehensive income

		Three months ended August 31, 2011		Nine months	sended Au	gust 31, 2011	Year ended November 30, 2011			
		Canadian	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	Canadian	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	Canadian GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS
	Note	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT					(Note 29)					
SERVICES		3,746,966	-	3,746,966	7,466,869	-	7,466,869	12,179,997	-	12,179,997
ROYALTIES		1,133,587	-	1,133,587	2,165,790	-	2,165,790	3,488,213	-	3,488,213
REVENUE		2,613,379	-	2,613,379	5,301,079	-	5,301,079	8,691,784	-	8,691,784
Stripping and clearing expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	336,730	-	336,730
Other aggregate management operating expenses		292,436	-	292,436	863,751	-	863,751	1,184,451	-	1,184,451
AGGREGATE MANAGEMENT OPERATING EXPENSES		292,436	-	292,436	863,751	-	863,751	1,521,181	-	1,521,181
		2,320,943	-	2,320,943	4,437,328	-	4,437,328	7,170,603	-	7,170,603
EXPENSES .										
Depreciation of property and equipment		35,928	-	35,928	107,292	-	107,292	141,460	-	141,460
Amortization of intangible assets	ii	241,606	(3,440)	238,166	710,392	3,871	714,263	937,571	15,206	952,777
General and administrative		352,893	-	352,893	989,362	-	989,362	1,731,697	-	1,731,697
Finance costs	ii	67,819	(9,594)	58,225	207,366	(16,936)	190,430	260,386	(15,289)	245,097
Share-based compensation		14,106 712,352	- (12.004)	14,106	103,893	(42.005)	103,893	113,711	- (00)	113,711 3,184,742
INCOME BEFORE OTHER			(13,034)	699,318	2,118,305	(13,065)	2,105,240	3,184,825	(83)	
ΠΕMS		1,608,591	13,034	1,621,625	2,319,023	13,065	2,332,088	3,985,778	83	3,985,861
OTHER INCOME (LOSS)										
Interest income		2,178	-	2,178	6,394	-	6,394	8,742	-	8,742
Gain on land use agreement		-	-	-	58,450	-	58,450	732,180	(27,213)	704,967
Miscellaneous (expense)		6,095	-	6,095	(13,093)	-	(13,093)	(6,496)	-	(6,496)
Foreign exchange		(450,000)	-	(450,000)	(450.000)	-	(450,000)	377	-	377
Write down of prepaid gravel		(150,000)	-	(150,000)	(150,000)	-	(150,000)	(150,000)		(150,000)
Write down of intangible assets		-	-	-	0	-	0	(138,086)	(80,090)	(218, 176)
Write down of resource properties and exploration costs		(2,500)	-	(2,500)	(3,750)	-	(3,750)	(451,656)	-	(451,656)
		(144,227)	-	(144,227)	(101,999)	-	(101,999)	(4,939)	(107,303)	(112,242)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		1,464,364	13,034	1,477,398	2,217,024	13,065	2,230,089	3,980,839	(107,220)	3,873,619
INCOME TAXES										
Current tax (recovery)		394,073	-	394,073	542,016	-	542,016	1,101,550	-	1,101,550
Deferred tax (benefit) expense	ii, iii	(24,740)	-	(24,740)	194,920	22,263	217,183	(16,399)	(7,677)	(8,722)
		369,333	-	369,333	736,936	(22,263)	759,199	1,085,151	(7,677)	1,092,828
NET INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME		1,095,031	13,034	1,108,065	1,480,088	(9,198)	1,470,890	2,895,688	(114,897)	2,780,791

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 27 - Transition to IFRS (continued)

Reconciliation of equity

	Share Capital \$	Contributed surplus \$	Retained Earnings \$	Total \$
December 1, 2010 – Canadian GAAP	6,585,761	736,643	1,473,770	8,796,174
Revaluation of carrying value of exploration costs Revaluation of carrying value of resource property	-	-	(65,900)	(65,900)
decommissioning and restoration assets	-	-	33,052	33,052
Revaluation of carrying value of decommissioning and restoration provision	_	_	(36,345)	(36,345)
Deferred tax impact of changes to carrying			,	,
amounts		-	51,780	51,780
December 1, 2010 – IFRS	6,585,761	736,643	1,456,357	8,778,761
August 31, 2011 – Canadian GAAP	6,594,612	813,697	2,947,514	10,355,823
Revaluation of carrying value of exploration costs	-	-	(65,900)	(65,900)
Revaluation of carrying value of resource property decommissioning and restoration assets	-	_	233,917	233,917
Revaluation of carrying value of decommissioning and restoration provision	_	_	(224,146)	(224,146)
Deferred tax impact of changes to carrying			(== :, : : •)	(== :, : : =)
amounts		-	29,518	29,518
August 31, 2011 – IFRS	6,594,612	813,697	2,920,903	10,329,212
November 30, 2011 – Canadian GAAP	6,655,116	795,996	4,363,114	11,814,226
Revaluation of carrying value of exploration costs	-	-	(65,900)	(65,900)
Revaluation of carrying value of resource property decommissioning and restoration assets	-	-	31,119	31,119
Revaluation of carrying value of decommissioning and restoration provision	-		(141,632)	(141,632)
Deferred tax impact of changes to carrying amounts	_	_	44,103	44,103
November 30, 2011 - IFRS	6,655,116	795,996	4,230,804	11,681,916

Notes to Reconciliation

i. Resource Properties

IFRS prohibits the capitalization of exploration costs in advance of holding permits on exploration properties. As a result, the Corporation wrote off \$65,900 of capitalized exploration costs as of December 1, 2010, the date of transition, decreasing resource properties and retained earnings by that amount at December 1, 2010, at August 31, 2011 and at November 30, 2011.

For the year ended November 30, 2011 there was a \$34,781 decrease in resource properties, comprised of an increase in resource properties decommissioning and restoration assets during the year, less the \$65,900 write off of resource properties as of December 1, 2010.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 27 – Transition to IFRS (continued)

Notes to Reconciliation (continued)

ii. Decommissioning and Restoration Provision

Under Canadian GAAP the discount rate used is the credit adjusted risk free rate which is set at the time the obligation is established. Under IFRS the discount rate reflects the risks specific to the provision and is updated if conditions change that would require a change in the rate. As well, the accretion expense is classified as a finance cost under IFRS.

The impact on the transition to IFRS was an increase in the decommissioning and restoration provision of \$36,345, a \$33,052 increase in related decommissioning and restoration assets, and a \$3,293 reduction in retained earnings resulting from \$24,492 reduced accretion expense on the decommissioning and restoration provision and \$27,785 increased amortization expense on decommissioning and restoration assets.

For the quarter ended August 31, 2011 there was a \$194,465 increase in decommissioning and restoration provision, a \$207,499 increase in the related decommissioning and restoration assets, and a \$13,034 increase in net income resulting from \$3,440 reduced amortization expense on decommissioning and restoration assets and from \$9,594 reduced accretion expense on the decommissioning and restoration provision.

For the nine months ended August 31, 2011 there was a \$224,146 increase in decommissioning and restoration provision, a \$233,917 increase in the related decommissioning and restoration assets, and a \$13,065 increase in net income resulting from \$3,871 increased amortization expense on decommissioning and restoration assets and from \$16,936 reduced accretion expense on the decommissioning and restoration provision.

For the year ended November 30, 2011 there was an increase in the decommissioning and restoration provision of \$141,632, and a \$107,220 reduction in income before income taxes. The reduction resulted from \$15,289 reduced accretion expense on the decommissioning and restoration provision, \$15,206 increased amortization expense on decommissioning and restoration assets, and \$107,303 increased impairment charges from write off of decommissioning and restoration assets.

iii. Deferred taxes

The carrying values of resource properties, the decommissioning and restoration provision and related decommissioning and restoration assets had changed from re-measurement under IFRS, resulting in changed deferred tax calculations.

The impact on the transition to IFRS was a \$51,780 decrease in deferred tax liability and a corresponding increase in retained earnings.

For the nine months ended August 31, 2011 there was a \$22,263 reduction in net income resulting from a corresponding increase in deferred tax expense, with no change during the quarter ended August 31, 2011.

For the year ended November 30, 2011 there was a \$7,677 reduction in net income resulting from a corresponding decrease in deferred tax benefit.

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements For the nine months ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (unaudited)

Note 28 - Subsequent Events

a) Increased Lease Obligation

On September 24, 2012 the Corporation was advanced a further \$3,893,090 under its leasing facility with a Canadian chartered bank, thus fully utilizing its available \$6,000,000 lease facility. The September 24, 2012 lease proceeds bear interest at a fixed rate of interest of 4.25% and will be repaid over a five year period. Apart from the interest rate applicable on the September 24, 2012 proceeds, all other borrowing terms disclosed in Note 15 remain in effect. The proceeds were used to fund the Corporation's purchase of a gravel crushing spread, delivered to the Corporation during September 2012, and other property and equipment. Of these proceeds, an aggregate of \$359,000 pertained to property and equipment recently acquired by the Corporation, then transacted as a sale and leaseback at approximately their original cost.

b) Purchase of Property and Equipment

Subsequent to August 31, 2012, the Corporation purchased property and equipment totaling approximately \$4,703,000. The purchased property and equipment includes a crushing spread and other machinery that will be used primarily at the Kearl pit. The purchases were financed using a \$706,818 deposit (see Note 7), \$3,893,090 of lease financing received September 24, 2012 (see note 28 a), and approximately \$103,000 of operating cash.

Note 29 - Prior Period Correction

Prior to IFRS transition, the Corporation recognized work camp income in its May 31, 2011 and August 31, 2011 interim financial statements. At year end November 30, 2011, after further consideration, management determined that a land use agreement receivable and a gain on land use agreement should have been recognized at May 31, 2011. The comparative financial results for the nine months ended August 31, 2011 have been restated to reflect the correct accounting treatment. As a result of this adjustment, assets at August 31, 2011 were decreased by \$24,840, retained earnings decreased by \$24,840, work camp income reduced by \$115,384, the gain on land use agreement increased by \$58,450, amortization of intangible assets decreased by \$32,094 and net income for the nine months ended August 31, 2011 decreased by \$24,840.